

Cones of monotone functions generated by a generalized fractional-maximal function

N.A. Bokayev, A. Gogatishvili, A.N. Abek.

Scientific seminar of the Institute of Applied Mathematics at Baku State
University ,
December 9, 2022, Baku

09.12.2022

Abstract

In this paper, we define the function spaces generated by a generalized fractional maximal function. The questions of the embedding such spaces in rearrangement invariant spaces are considered. Estimates are obtained for a non-increasing rearrangement of the generalized fractional maximal function. Various cones of the non-increasing rearrangement of a generalized fractionally maximal function are defined and conditions for their mutual covering are found.


Цель работы:

1. Define a generalized fractional-maximal function $(M_{\Phi}f)(x)$;
2. Obtain the estimates for a non-increasing rearrangement of generalized fractional-maximal functions;
3. Construction of various cones of monotone functions generated by a non-increasing rearrangement of a generalized fractional-maximal function;
4. Consider the question of mutual coverings of such cones;
5. On embedding of generalized fractional-maximal function spaces in rearrangement invariant space

Их результаты подробно изложены в обзорных статьях В.И.Буренкова 2012-2013 и в книге Д.Адамса 2015 [34] V. Burenkov. Recent progress in studying the boundedness of classical operators of real analysis in general Morrey-type spaces // Eurasian. Math. J. - 2012. - Vol.3, No.3, - Pp. 11-32. [35] V. Burenkov. Recent progress in studying the boundedness of classical operators of real analysis in general Morrey-type spaces // Eurasian. Math. J. - 2013. - Vol.4, No.1, - Pp. 21-45.

[28] V. Burenkov, H. Guliev Necessary and sufficient conditions for boundedness of the maximal operator in the local Morrey-type spaces . Studia Math.163 (2004),157-176.

[29] V. Burenkov, V. Guliyev Necessary and sufficient conditions for boundedness of the Riesz potential in the local Morrey-type spaces . Potential Anal.31 (2009),1-39

[30] V. Burenkov, H. Guliyev, V. Guliyev Necessary and sufficient conditions for boundedness of the Riesz potential in the local Morrey-type spaces . Doklady Ross.Akad.Nauk.Matematika,412, no.5(2007), 585-589 (in Russian).English transl. in 

Functional norm

A mapping $\rho : L_0^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called a *functional norm* (short: FN), if the next conditions are met for all $f, g, f_n \in L_0^+, n \in N$:

(P1) $\rho(f) = 0 \Rightarrow f = 0, \mu$ - almost everywhere (briefly: μ - a.e.);
 $\rho(\alpha f) = \alpha\rho(f), \alpha \geq 0; \rho(f + g) \leq \rho(f) + \rho(g)$ (properties of the norm);

(P2) $f \leq g, (\mu$ - a.e.) $\Rightarrow \rho(f) \leq \rho(g)$ (monotony of the norm);

(P3) $f_n \uparrow f \Rightarrow \rho(f_n) \rightarrow \rho(f) (n \rightarrow \infty)$ (the Fatou property);

(P4) $0 < \mu(\sigma) < \infty \Rightarrow \int_{\sigma} f d\mu \leq c_{\sigma} \rho(f), f \in L_0^+ .;$

(P5) $0 < \mu(\sigma) < \infty \Rightarrow \rho(\chi_{\sigma}) < \infty$ (finiteness of the FN for characteristic functions (χ_{σ}) of sets of finite measure).

Here $f_n \uparrow f$ means that $f_n \leq f_{n+1}, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f$ (μ - a.e.)

C.Bennett, R.Sharpley [1], as well as the concepts of an ideal space (briefly: IS) considered in the book by S.G.Crane, Yu.I.Petunin and E.M.Semenov [2].

Banach function space

Let ρ there be a functional norm. The set of functions $X = X(\rho)$ from L_0 , for which $\rho(|f|) < \infty$ is called a *Banach function space* (briefly: BFS), generated by the FN ρ . For $f \in X$ we assume

$$\|f\|_X = \rho(|f|).$$

Example 1.1. Let $S = R^n$, $\mu \equiv \mu_n$ - be the Lebesgue measure in R^n , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$; $u \in L_0(R^n)$, $0 < u < \infty$, (μ -a.e.); $u \in L_p^{loc}(R^n)$, $\frac{1}{u} \in L_{p'}^{loc}(R^n)$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. The space $X = L_{p,u}(R^n)$ with a norm $f_X = fu_{L_p}$ i.e.:

$$\|f\|_X = \left(\int_{R^n} |fu|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty; \quad \|f\|_X = \|fu\|_{L_\infty}, \quad p = \infty$$

is a BFS.

Non-increasing rearrangement of function

Let $L_0 = L_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ - be the set of all Lebesgue measurable functions $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$; $\dot{L}_0 = \dot{L}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ - the set of functions $f \in L_0$, for which the non-increasing rearrangement of the f^* is not identical to infinity. Non-increasing rearrangement f^* defined by the equality:

$$f^*(t) = \inf \{y \in [0; \infty) : \lambda_f(y) \leq t\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+ = (0; \infty) \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$\lambda_f(y) = \mu_n \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > y\}, \quad y \in [0, \infty) \quad (1.4)$$

-the Lebesgue distribution function.

The function $f^{**} : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is defined as

$$f^{**}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f^*(\tau) d\tau; \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \quad (1.7)$$

Rearrangement invariant space

A FN ρ is *rearrangement-invariant* if

$$f^* \leq g^* \Rightarrow \rho(f) \leq \rho(g). \quad (1.11)$$

Banach function space (BFS) $X = X(\rho)$, generated by a rearrangement invariant functional norm (FN) ρ , will be called a *rearrangement invariant space* (in short: RIS).

Examples of RIS are Lebesgue spaces $L_p(R^n)$, Lorentz spaces, and Orlich spaces.

C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of operators*. Pure and Applied Mathematics 129, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.

S.G.Crane, Yu.I.Petunin and E.M.Semenov;
M.L. Goldman, *On the cones of rearrangements for generalized Bessel and Riesz potentials*. Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations.(2010), 55, no. 8-10., 817–832.

M.L. Goldman, *On optimal embeddings of Bessel and Riesz potentials*. Proc. of the Steklov Inst. Math. 269 (2010), 91–111.

N.A. Bokayev, M.L. Goldman, G.Zh. Karshygina, *Cones of functions with monotonicity conditions for generalized Bessel and Riesz potentials*. Mathem. Notes. 104 (2018), no. 3., 356–373.

N.A. Bokayev, M.L. Goldman, G.Zh. Karshygina, *Criteria for embeddings of generalized Bessel and Riesz potential spaces in rearrangement invariant spaces*. Eurasian Math. Journal. 10 (2019), no. 2., 8–29.

M.L. Goldman, E.G. Bakhtigareeva, Eurasian Math. Journal. 11 (2020), no. 4., 35–44.

Symmetrical rearrangement of function

Let $f^\# : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ denote a symmetrical rearrangement of f , i.e. a radially symmetric nonnegative decreasing right continuous function (as a function of $\rho = |x|$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$) that is equimeasurable with f .

That is

$$f^\#(\rho) = f^*(v_n \rho^n); \quad f^*(t) = f^\# \left(\left(\frac{t}{v_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right), \quad \rho, t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (1.5)$$

Here v_n is the volume of the n -dimensional unit ball.

Definition 1.6. For functional norm ρ , we introduce the *associated norm* ρ' :

$$\rho'(g) = \sup \left\{ \int_S fg d\mu : f \in L_0^+, \rho(f) \leq 1 \right\}, \quad g \in L_0^+. \quad (1.13)$$

Theorem 1.1. Let ρ be FN, ρ' - the associated norm,

$$X' = X(\rho') = \{g \in L_0 : \rho'(|g|) < \infty\} \quad (1.14)$$

then ρ' is the FN; X' is the BFS with the norm

$$\|g\|_{X'} = \rho'(|g|), \quad (1.15)$$

moreover, the spaces X and X' are Banach spaces and the duality principle is valid for them

$$X'' = (X')' = X, \quad (1.16)$$

Everywhere in this work, we denote rearrangement invariant space (in short: RIS) by $E = E(R^n)$, and by $E' = E'(R^n)$ the associated rearrangement-invariant space and $\tilde{E} = \tilde{E}(R_+)$, $\tilde{E}' = \tilde{E}'(R_+)$ their Luxembourg representation, i.e. such RIS that

$$\|f\|_E = \|f^*\|_{\tilde{E}}, \quad \|g\|_{E'} = \|g^*\|_{\tilde{E}'}, \quad (1.17)$$

2. The generalized fractional-maximal function and estimate of its non-increasing rearrangement.

Let E be RIS and $\Phi : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$, $\Phi \in A_n(R)$. The *generalized fractional-maximal function* $M_\Phi f$ is defined for the function $f \in E(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_1^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by the equality

$$(M_\Phi f)(x) = \sup_{r>0} \Phi(r) \int_{B(x,r)} f(y) dy, \quad (2.8)$$

where $B(x, r)$ is a ball with the center at the point x and radius r .

That is, consider the operator $M_\Phi: L_1^{loc}(R^n) \rightarrow L_0(R^n)$.

In the case $\Phi(r) = r^{\alpha-n}$, $\alpha \in (0; n)$ we obtain the classical fractional-maximal function $M_\alpha f$:

$$(M_\alpha f)(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r^{n-\alpha}} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| dy. \quad (2.9)$$

$$(M_\rho f)(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{\rho(r)}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| dy, \quad (2.)$$

where $\rho : R+ \rightarrow R+$

A. Gogatishvili, L. Pick, B. Opic, *Weighted inequalities for Hardy-type operators involving suprema*. Collect. Math. 57 (2006), no. 3., 227–255

Hakim D.I., Nakai E., Sawano Y. Generalized fractional maximal operators and vector-valued inequalities on generalized Orlicz-Morrey spaces // Rev Mat Complut, 2015

R. Ch. Mustafayev, N. Bilgicli, *Generalized fractional maximal functions in Lorentz spaces*. Journal of Mathematical Inequalities. 12 (2018), no. 3., 827–851.

A. Kucukaslan, *Equivalence of norms of the generalized fractional integral operator and the generalized fractional maximal operator on the generalized weighted Morrey spaces*. Annals of Functional Analysis. 11 (2020), 1007–1026

We denote by $M_E^\Phi = M_E^\Phi(R^n)$ the set of the functions u , for which there is a function $f \in E(R^n)$ such that

$$u(x) = (M_\Phi f)(x),$$

$$\|u\|_{M_E^\Phi} = \inf \{ \|f\|_E : f \in E(R^n), M_\Phi f = u \} \quad (2.10)$$

Note that in the works of M.I. Goldman, E.G. Bakhtigareeva [3, 4, 6], the generalized Riesz potential was considered using the convolution operator:

$$A : E_1(R^n) \rightarrow \dot{L}_0(R^n), \quad (2.11)$$

$$Af(x) = (G * f)(x) = 2\pi^{-n/2} \int_{R^n} G(x-y)f(y)dy, \quad (2.12)$$

where the kernel $G(x)$ satisfies the conditions:

$$G(x) \cong \Phi(|x|), \quad x \in R^n \quad (2.13)$$

$$\Phi \in \mathfrak{S}_n(\infty); \quad \exists c \in R_+.$$

The kernel of the classical Riesz potential has the form

$$G(x) = |x|^{\alpha-n}, \quad \alpha \in (0; n).$$

DEFINITION 1. Let $R \in (0; \infty]$. Let $\Phi : (0; R) \rightarrow R_+$. We say that the function Φ belongs to the class $A_n(R)$ if:

- (1) Φ decreases and is continuous on $(0; R)$;
- (2) $\Phi(r)r^n \uparrow, r \in (0, R)$.

The function Φ belongs to the class $B_n(R)$ if the following conditions hold:

- (1) Φ decreases and is continuous on $(0; R)$;
- (2) There exists a constant $C \in R_+$ such that

$$\int_0^r \Phi(\rho)\rho^{n-1}d\rho \leq C\Phi(r)r^n.$$

The function Φ belongs to the class $E_n(R)$ if

$$\int_0^{r^n} \frac{ds}{\Phi(s^{1/n})s} \leq \frac{C}{\Phi(r)}, r \in (0; R). (2.7)$$

For example, $\Phi(t) = t^{-\alpha} \in A_n(\infty), 0 < \alpha < n$

Note that relation (2.7) is equivalent to the inequality (can be obtained by a change of variables):

$$\int_0^r \frac{dt}{\Phi(t)t} \leq \frac{C}{\Phi(r)}. \quad (2.7')$$

For example the function $\Phi(t) = t^{\alpha-n} \in A_n(\infty)$ ($0 < \alpha < n$). The function $\Phi(t) = t^{-n} \ln(1+t)^\alpha \in D_n(\infty)$ ($\alpha > 0$) and $\Phi(t) = t^{\alpha-n} \in D_n(\infty)$ ($0 < \alpha < n$).

Lemma 2.1. $E_n(R) \subset B_n(R) \subset A_n(R)$.

.....

Lemma 2.3. Let $\Phi \in B_n(0, \infty)$ and the kernel G defined by (2.14). Then the following inequality holds:

$$(M_\Phi f)(x) \leq (G * f)(x), \quad x \in R^n. \quad (2.14)$$

2. The estimates of non-increasing rearrangement of generalized fractional-maximal function

Theorem 2.1. Let $\Phi \in A_n(\infty)$. Then there exist a positive constant C , depending from n such that

$$(M_\Phi f)^*(t) \leq C \sup_{t < s < \infty} s\Phi(s^{1/n})f^{**}(s), \quad t \in (0, \infty), \quad (2.15)$$

for every $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\Phi \in A_n(\infty)$. Inequality (2.15) is sharp in the sense that for every $\varphi \in L^+_0(0, \infty; \downarrow)$ there exists a function $f \in L^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $f^* = \varphi$ a.e. on $(0, \infty)$ and

$$(M_\Phi f)^*(t) \geq C \sup_{t < s < \infty} s\Phi(s^{1/n})f^{**}(s), \quad t \in (0, \infty) \quad (2.23)$$

where, C is a positive constant which depends only on n .

In the case $\Phi(r) = r^{\alpha-n}$, $0 < \alpha < n$ for M_α Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 were proved by A. Cianchi, R. Kerman, B. Opic, L. Pick *A sharp rearrangement inequality for the fractional maximal operator.*

Studia Mathematica. 138 (2000), no. 3., 277–284

Theorem 2.3. Let $\Phi \in B_n(\infty)$. Then there exist a positive constant C , depending from n such that

$$(M_\Phi f)^{**}(t) \leq C \sup_{t < s < \infty} s\Phi(s^{1/n})f^{**}(s), \quad t \in (0, \infty), \quad (2.28)$$

for every $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 2.4.

Let $\Phi \in D_n(\infty)$, then there is an inequality

$$(M_\Phi f)^*(t) \leq C \left(t\Phi(t^{1/n})f^{**}(t) + \sup_{t < \tau < \infty} \tau\Phi(\tau^{1/n})f^*(\tau) \right), \quad t \in (0, \infty) \quad (1)$$

N.A. Bokayev, A. Gogatishvili, A.N. Abek, On estimates of non-increasing rearrangements of generalized fractional-maximal functions. Eurasian Math. Journal. 2022. Vol. 14, No. 2, pp. 8–18. (in print)

3. Cones generated by a generalized fractional maximal function.

Definition 3.1. Define $\mathfrak{K}_T = \{K(T)\}$ for $T \in (0, \infty]$ as a set of cones considering from measurable non-negative functions on $(0, T)$, equipped with positive homogeneous functionals

$\rho_{KM(T)} : K(T) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with properties:

- (1) $h \in K(T)$, $\alpha \geq 0 \Rightarrow \alpha h \in K(T)$, $\rho_{K(T)}(\alpha h) = \alpha \rho_{K(T)}(h)$;
- (2) $\rho_{K(T)}(h) = 0 \Rightarrow h = 0$ almost everywhere on $(0, T)$.

Definition 3.2 [4]. Let $K(T), M(T) \in \mathfrak{S}_T$. The cone $K(T)$ covers the cone $M(T)$ (notation: $M(T) \prec K(T)$) if there exist $C_0 = C_0(T) \in R_+$, and $C_1 = C_1(T) \in [0, \infty)$ with $C_1(\infty) = 0$ such that for each $h_1 \in M(T)$ there is $h_2 \in K(T)$ satisfying

$$\rho_{K(T)}(h_2) \leq C_0 \rho_{M(T)}(h_1), \quad h_1(t) \leq h_2(t) + C_1 \rho_{M(T)}(h_1), \quad t \in (0, T). \quad (3.1)$$

The equivalence of the cones means mutual covering:

$$M(T) \approx K(T) \Leftrightarrow M(T) \prec K(T) \prec M(T). \quad (3.2)$$

Let E is rearrangement-invariant space (briefly: RIS). We consider the following four cones of decreasing rearrangements of generalized fractional maximal functions equipped with homogeneous functionals, respectively:

$$K_1 \equiv KM_E^\Phi = \{h \in L^+(\mathbb{R}_+) : h(t) = u^*(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+, u \in M_E^\Phi\}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\rho_{K_1}(h) = \inf\{\|u\|_{M_E^\Phi} : u \in M_E^\Phi; u^*(t) = h(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}; \quad (3.4)$$

$$K_2 \equiv K\tilde{M}_E^\Phi = \{h : h(t) = u^{**}(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+, u \in M_E^\Phi\}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\rho_{K_2}(h) = \inf\{\|u\|_{M_E^\Phi} : u \in M_E^\Phi; u^{**}(t) = h(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}. \quad (3.6)$$

This means that the cones K_1 and K_2 consist of non-increasing rearrangements of generalized fractional maximal functions.

$$K_3 = \tilde{K}_E^\Phi = \left\{ h \in L^+(\mathbb{R}_+) : h(t) = \sup_{t < \tau < \infty} \tau \Phi(\tau^{1/n}) u^{**}(\tau), t \in \mathbb{R}_+, u \in E(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\rho_{K_3}(h) = \inf \left\{ \|u\|_{E(\mathbb{R}^n)}, u \in E(\mathbb{R}^n) : h(t) = \sup_{t < \tau < \infty} \tau \Phi(\tau^{1/n}) u^{**}(\tau), t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \right\}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$K_4 = \tilde{K}_E^\Phi = \left\{ h \in L^+(\mathbb{R}_+) : h(t) = t \Phi(t^{1/n}) u^{**}(t) + \sup_{t < \tau < \infty} \tau \Phi(\tau^{1/n}) u^*(\tau), t \in \mathbb{R}_+, u \in E(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\rho_{K_4}(h) = \inf \left\{ \|u\|_{E(\mathbb{R}^n)}, u \in E(\mathbb{R}^n) : h(t) = t \Phi(t^{1/n}) u^{**}(t) + \sup_{t < \tau < \infty} \tau \Phi(\tau^{1/n}) u^*(\tau), t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \right\}. \quad (3.10)$$

Note that in the works of Goldman M.L. [4], cones generated by generalized potentials are considered (also see [3]-[6], [12], [13]). They study the space of potentials $H_E^G \equiv H_E^G(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in n -dimensional Euclidean space:

$$H_E^G(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{u = G * f : f \in E(\mathbb{R}^n)\}, \quad (3.11)$$

where $E(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an rearrangement invariant space (RIS).

$$\|u\|_{H_E^G} = \inf\{\|f\|_E : f \in E(\mathbb{R}^n); G * f = u\}, \quad (3.12)$$

$$M(T) \equiv M_E^G(T) = \{h(t) = u^*(t), t \in (0; T), u \in H_E^G\},$$

$$\rho_{M(T)}(h) = \inf\{\|u\|_{H_E^G} : u \in H_E^G; u^*(t) = h(t), t \in (0; T)\};$$

$$\tilde{M}(T) \equiv \tilde{M}_E^G(T) = \{h(t) = u^{**}(t), t \in (0; T) : u \in H_E^G\},$$

$$\rho_{\tilde{M}}(h) = \inf\{\|u\|_{H_E^G} : u \in H_E^G; u^{**}(t) = h(t), t \in (0; T)\}.$$

In [4] was also considered the cone

$$K(T) = K_E^\Phi(T) = \left\{ h(g; t) = h(t) = \int_0^T f_\Phi(t; \tau) g(\tau) d\tau, t \in \mathbb{R}_+ : g \in \right.$$

Theorem 3.1. The cone generated by the generalized potential covers the cone generated by the generalized maximal function, i.e. $KM_E^\Phi \prec KM_E^G$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\Phi \in B_n(\infty)$. Then

$$K_4 \prec K_3 \quad (3.19)$$

Theorem 3.3. Let $\Phi \in B_n(\infty) \cap A_n$. Then

$$K_3 \prec K_4. \quad (3.23)$$

Theorem 3.4. Let $\Phi \in B_n(\infty)$. Then

$$KM_E^\Phi \approx K\tilde{M}_E^\Phi \approx \tilde{K}_E^\Phi. \quad (3.28)$$

Theorem 3.5. Let $\Phi \in E_n(\infty)$. Then

$$K_1 \approx K_2 \approx K_3 \approx K_4. \quad (3.42)$$

Definition 4.1 [5]. The embedding $M(T) \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}(0, T)$ means that $M(T) \subset \tilde{X}(0, T)$ and there exists $C_{M(T)}(T) \in R_+$ such that

$$\|h\|_{\tilde{X}(0, T)} \leq C_{M(T)} \rho_{M(T)}(h), \quad h \in M(T) \quad (4.1)$$

Lemma 4.1 (see[5,13]). Let $K(T), M(T) \in \mathfrak{S}_T$. For every BFS $\tilde{X}(0, T)$ if $M(T) \prec K(T)$ then $K(T) \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}(0, T) \Rightarrow M(T) \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}(0, T)$, and (see (3.1))

$$C_{M(T)} \leq C_0 C_{K(T)} + C_1 \|1\|_{\tilde{X}(0, T)} \quad (4.2)$$

Let us formulate criteria for embedding of generalized fractional maximal functional spaces in rearrangement invariant spaces $X(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$M_E^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset X(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (4.3)$$

Theorem 4.1. Let $\Phi \in B_n(\infty)$. The embedding

$$M_E^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow X(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (4.7)$$

is equivalence to the next embedding

$$K_1 M_E^\Phi(\mathbb{R}_+) \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}(\mathbb{R}_+) \quad (4.8)$$

$$M_E^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset X(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (4.3)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow K_3 \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}(\mathbb{R}_+). \quad (4.4)$$

Definition 4.2.[17]. Let E - RIS. By an optimal RIS we mean an RIS $X_0 = X_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $M_E^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ holds for $X = X_0$ and if (4.3) is valid for another RIS X , then $X_0 = X$. Such an optimal RIS is called a rearrangement-invariant envelope of the space of potentials.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\Phi \in B_n(\infty)$. The embedding

$$M_E^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow X(\mathbb{R}^n) \tag{4.7}$$

is equivalence to the next embedding

$$K_1 M_E^\Phi(\mathbb{R}_+) \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}(\mathbb{R}_+) \tag{4.8}$$

Theorem 4.5. Let $\Phi \in B_n(\infty)$. The optimal RIS $X_0 = X_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for embedding

$$M_E^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow X(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is defined by following norm:

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{X}_0(0,\infty)} = \sup_{g^*} \left\{ \int_0^\infty f^*(\tau)g^*(\tau)d\tau : g \in L_0(0,\infty), \sup_t \int_0^t h(s)ds \leq \int_0^t g^*(s)ds \right. \\ \left. \left\| \int_t^\infty \Phi(s^{1/n})sh(s)ds \right\|_{E'} \leq 1 \right\}. \quad (4.16)$$

Публикации в международных изданиях

1. Bennett C., Sharpley R. Interpolation of Operators // Pure and Applied Mathematics 129, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988, p.469.
2. Krein S. G., Petunin Yu.I., Semenov E.M. Interpolation of Linear Operators // Nauka, Moscow, 1978, p.400 [in Russian].
3. Goldman M.L. Rearrangement invariant shells of generalized Bessel and Riesz potentials // Reports of RAS V. 423, ϵ 1, 2008, p.151-155.
4. Goldman M.L. On the cones of rearrangements for generalized Bessel and Riesz potentials // Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations 55:8-10, 2010, p.817-832.
4. Goldman M. L. On optimal embedding of generalized Bessel and Riesz potentials. Proc. Steklov Inst. Mathem. 2010. Vol. 269, pp. 101-123.
6. Goldman M.L., Bakhtigareeva E.G Application of general approach to the theory of Morrey-type spaces, 2019.
7. Goldman M.L., Bakhtigareeva E.G. Some classes of operators in general Morrey-type spaces // Eurasian Mathematical Journal,

8. Cianchi A., Kerman R., Opic B., Pick L. A sharp rearrangement inequality for the fractional maximal operator // *Studia Mathematica* 138, e3, 2000, p.277-284. Mustafayev R.Ch., Bilgicli N. Generalized fractional maximal functions in Lorentz spaces A // *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, Volume 12, e3, 2018, p.827-851.
10. Gogatishvili A., Pick L. Discretization and abti-discretization of rearrangement-invariant norms // *Publ. Mat., Bare.* 47 (2003), e2, 311-358.
11. Gogatishvili A., Pick L., Opic B. Weighted inequalities for Hardy-type operators involving suprema // *Collect. Math.* 57, e3, 2006, p.227-255
12. Hakim D.I., Nakai E., Sawano Y. Generalized fractional maximal operators and vector-valued inequalities on generalized Orlicz-Morrey spaces // *Rev Mat Complut*, 2015

- Bokayev N. A., Goldman M. L., Karshygina G. Zh. Cones of functions with monotonicity conditions for generalized Bessel and Riesz potentials. Math. Notes. 2018. Vol. 104, No. 3, pp. 356–373.
15. Bokayev N. A., Goldman M. L., Karshygina G. Zh. Criteria for embeddings of generalized Bessel and Riesz potential spaces in rearrangement invariant spaces. Eurasian Math. Journal. 2019. Vol. 10, No. 2, pp. 8–29.
16. Kucukaslan A. Equivalence of norms of the generalized fractional integral operator and the generalized fractional maximal operator on the generalized weighted Morrey spaces // Springer, Annals of Functional Analysis, 2020.
17. Goldman M.L. Optimal embeddings of generalized Bessel and Riesz potentials // Trudy Matematicheskogo Instituta imeni V.A. Steklova, 2010, Vol. 269, pp. 91–111.
18. Sinnamon G. Transferring monotonicity in weighted norm inequalities // Collect. Math. 54, 2 (2003), pp. 181–216.

Спасибо за внимание!