ON CLOSED MAPPINGS OF UNIFORM SPACES

A. A. CHEKEEV¹, T. J. KASYMOVA¹, A. I. CHANBAEVA¹

ABSTRACT. In the paper the *u*-continuous, *u*-closed, z_u -closed, *u*-perfect mappings have been determined and their some properties have been established. The importance of these mappings classes is caused by that *u*-closed mappings are a subclass of the closed mappings class, and the closed mappings class is a subclass of the z_u -closed mappings.

Keywords: open (closed) sets, zero- (cozero)sets, uniformly continuous mapping, perfect mapping, bicompact.

AMS Subject Classification: 54C05, 54C10, 54C30, 54C35, 54C45, 54C50.

1. INTRODUCTION

Z. Frolik ([4]) introduced z-closed mappings, which are a natural generalization of the closed mappings ([3]).

Definition 1.1. ([4]). A continuous mapping $f : X \to Y$ of a topological space X into a topological space Y is called z-closed, if the image f(F) of any functionally closed (\equiv zero-set) F in X is closed set in Y.

Below the uniform analogues of closed and z- closed mappings have been determined. Everywhere necessary information and denotations are taken from books [6] and [1],[2],[5].

Every uniform space be uX, where \mathcal{U} be a uniformity in a uniform coverings terms, $f: uX \to vY$ be a mapping of uniform space uX into uniform space vY and if f(F) = Y, then the mapping fis surjective. We denote $C^*(uX)$ to be a ring of all bounded uniformly continuous functions on uX, $\mathfrak{Z}(uX) = \{f^{-1}(0) : f \in C^*(uX)\}$ be a set of all uniformly zero-sets (\equiv uniformly closed sets ([2]), $\mathcal{L}(uX) = \{f^{-1}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) : f \in C^*(uX)\}$ be a set of all uniformly cozero-sets (\equiv uniformly open sets ([2])) of the uniform space uX.

Let $u_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{R}$ be a set of real numbers \mathbb{R} with natural uniformity $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{R}}$, generated by the metrics $\rho(x, y) = |x - y|$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, and $u_I I$ be a segment I = [0, 1] with uniformity \mathcal{U}_I , induced by the uniformity $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Definition 1.2. ([2]). A mapping $f : uX \to vY$ is called *u*-continuous, if the inverse image $f^{-1}(F) \in \mathfrak{Z}(uX)(f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{L}(uX))$ for any $F \in \mathfrak{Z}(uY)(U \in \mathcal{L}(uY))$.

Remark 1.1. Every uniformly continuous mapping $f : uX \to vY$ is u-continuous. If \mathcal{U}_f and \mathcal{V}_f are fine uniformities of Tychonoff spaces X and Y respectively, then for mapping $f : u_f X \to v_f Y u_f$ - continuity is equivalent to the continuity of mapping $f : X \to Y$: There is u-continuous mapping $f : uX \to vY$, which is not uniformly continuous.

¹Kyrgyz National University named after J. Balasagyn, Kyrgyzstan, Bishkek

e-mail: asyl.ch.top@mail.ru, tumar2000@mail.ru, a.chanbaeva@mail.ru Manuscript received December 2014.

Theorem 1.1. ([2]). Let $g_1^{-1}(0) = F_1 \in \mathfrak{Z}(uX)$ and $g_2^{-1}(0) = F_2 \in \mathfrak{Z}(uX)$, where $g_1, g_2 \in C^*(uX)$ and $F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset$. Then the function $f : uX \to u_I I$, determined as $f(x) = |g_1(x)|/(|g_1(x)| + |g_2(x)|)$ for any $x \in X$, is a *u*-function.

Example 1.1. Let $X = [-1; 0) \cup (0; 1]$ and a uniformity \mathcal{U} on X is induced by the uniformity $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{R}}$ of \mathbb{R} . The sets [-1; 0) and (0; 1] are no uniformly separated, hence, there is no uniformly continuous function on the uniform space uX, which is separates these sets. Functions $g_i : uX \to u_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{R}$, i = 1, 2, determined as $g_1(x) = \rho(x, [-1; 0))$ and $g_2(x) = \rho(x, (0; 1])$ are uniformly continuous. Then the function $f(x) = g_1(x)/(g_1(x) + g_2(x))$ is an example of the u-continuous function, which is not uniformly continuous.

2. Main results

Example 2.1. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\mathbb{R}^+ = (0; +\infty)$. A uniformity $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{R}}$ of real numbers \mathbb{R} is generated by the basis \mathcal{B} , consisting of uniform coverings $\alpha_{\varepsilon} = \{O_{\varepsilon}(x) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where $O_{\varepsilon}(x) = (x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$ is open interval with center at point x of length 2ε . Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ be a set of all finite subsets of \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}^+ . For any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and any $A \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ suppose $\alpha_{\varepsilon,A} = \{O_{\varepsilon}(x) \setminus A : x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus A\} \cup \{\{a\} : a \in A\}$. A family $\mathcal{B}' = \alpha_{\varepsilon,A} : \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $A \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ is a basis of some uniformity \mathcal{U}' on \mathbb{R} , more strong, than uniformity $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Really, $\alpha_{\varepsilon_1,A_1} \wedge \alpha_{\varepsilon_2,A_2} \succ \alpha_{\varepsilon,A_1 \cup A_2}$, where $\varepsilon = \min\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\}$ and the covering $\alpha_{\delta,A}$ is starry inscribed to the covering $\alpha_{\varepsilon,A}$, where $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. We note, that $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{U}'$ and \mathcal{U}' generates discrete topology on the \mathbb{R} .

Proposition 2.1. A set of rational numbers \mathbb{Q} is not uniformly zero-set in the uniform space $u'\mathbb{R}$, *i.e.* $\mathbb{Q} \notin \mathfrak{Z}(u'\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. We suppose, that $\mathbb{Q} \in \mathfrak{Z}(u^{\mathbb{R}})$, i.e. there is such uniformly continuous function $f \in C^*(u^{\mathbb{R}})$, that $\mathbb{Q}=f^{-1}(0)$. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $\varepsilon_n > 0$ and $A_n \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ such that the family $f(\alpha_{\varepsilon_n,A_n})$ is inscribed to the covering $\alpha_{\frac{1}{n}}$, i.e. for any $y \in O_{\varepsilon_n}(x)$ the formula $|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{1}{n}$ is provided for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $x \notin A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$, in force of everywhere density of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{R} , there is such $y \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus A$, that $|x - y| < \varepsilon_n$, hence for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ for all such $x \notin A$ and $|x - y| < \varepsilon_n$ we have $|f(x)| < \frac{1}{n}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. f(x) = 0 for any $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus A$. Thus, $\mathbb{R} \setminus A = f^{-1}(0)$, i.e. $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{Q} \cup A$ is contradiction, since \mathbb{Q} and A are countable sets, and \mathbb{R} is uncountable. The proposition is proved.

We consider the function $h: u'\mathbb{R} \to u_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{R}$, determined as g(x) = 0, if $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ and g(x) = 1, if $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. Then h is continuous function, which is not u'-continuous function, since $g^{-1}(0) = \mathbb{Q} \notin \mathfrak{Z}(u'\mathbb{R})$. By means of example 2.1, it is naturally to determine a special closed mappings of uniform spaces.

Definition 2.1. A mapping $f : uX \to vY$ is called u-closed if it is u-continuous and for any closed set F in X the image f(F) is closed in Y.

Definition 2.2. A mapping $f : uX \to vY$ is called z_u -closed, if it is u-continuous and for any uniformly closed set $F \in \mathfrak{Z}(uX)$ the image f(F) is closed in Y.

Obviously, every u-closed mapping is z_u -closed. It takes place the next simple

Proposition 2.2. Every u-closed mapping $f: uX \to vY$ is z_u -closed.

Theorem 2.1. A mapping $f : uX \to vY$ is z_u -closed if and only if for every point $y \in Y$ and every uniformly cozero-set $U \in \mathcal{L}(uX)$, containing $f^{-1}(y)$, i.e. $f^{-1}(y) \subset U$, there is such open neighborhood V of point $y \in Y$, that $f^{-1}(V) \subset U$. Proof. Necessity. Let the mapping $f : uX \to vY$ be a z_u -closed and $y \in Y$ be an arbitrary point and uniformly cozero-set $U \in \mathcal{L}(uX)$, containing $f^{-1}(y)$, i.e. $f^{-1}(y) \subset U$. Then $X \setminus U \in \mathfrak{Z}(uX)$ is uniformly zero-set and $f(X \setminus U)$ is closed in Y. Set $V = Y \setminus f(X \setminus U)$ is open in Y and $y \in V$, i.e. V is open neighborhood of point y. The next calculations: $f^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(Y \setminus f(X \setminus U)) = X \setminus f^{-1}(f(X \setminus U)) \subset X \setminus (X \setminus U) = U$ are provided, i.e. $f^{-1}(V) \subset U$.

Sufficiency. Conversely, let the condition of theorem is provided: $F \in \mathfrak{Z}(uX)$ be an arbitrary uniformly zero-set. The set $U = X \setminus F \in \mathcal{L}(uX)$ is uniformly cozero-set and for any $y \in Y \setminus f(F)$ we have $f^{-1}(y) \subset X \setminus f(f^{-1}(f(F))) \subset X \setminus F = U$. Then there is an open neighborhood V_y of point $y \in Y \setminus f(F)$ such, that $f^{-1}(V_y) \subset U$. Suppose $V = \bigcup \{V_y : y \in Y \setminus f(F)\}$. Then V is open in Y and $Y \setminus f(F) \subset V$ and $f^{-1}(V) \subset U$, i.e. $f^{-1}(V) \cap F = \emptyset$. Then $V \cap f(F) = \emptyset$, i.e. $V \subset Y \setminus f(F)$. Consequently, $f(F) = Y \setminus V$, i.e. the set f(F) is closed. The theorem is proved completely.

The next theorem demonstrates, when z_u -closeness of mappings implies u-closeness.

Theorem 2.2. If a mapping $f : uX \to vY$ is z_u -closed and $f^{-1}(y)$ is Lindelöf for any point $y \in Y$, then the mapping f is u-closed.

Proof. Let $y \in Y$ be an arbitrary point, $f^{-1}(y)$ be a Lindelőf and U be an arbitrary open set, containing $f^{-1}(y)$, i.e. $f^{-1}(y) \subset U$. Family $\mathcal{L}(uX)$ is a basis of topology of the uniform space uX ([2]), hence for any point $x \in f^{-1}(y) \subset U$ there exists such uniformly cozero-set $V_x \in \mathcal{L}(uX)$, which is the open neighborhood of x, then $x \in V_x \subset U$. Then the family $\{V_x : x \in f^{-1}(y)\}$ is open covering of Lindelőf space $f^{-1}(y)$. Let $\{V_{x_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable subcovering. Since $V_{x_n} \in \mathcal{L}(uX)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\mathcal{V}' = \bigcup \{V_{x_u} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is uniformly cozeroset ([2]) and $f^{-1}(y) \subset U' \subset U$. By z_u -closeness of mapping $f : uX \to vY$, there is such open neighborhood V of point $y \in Y$, that $f^{-1}(V) \subset U' \subset U$. Then, on one of the closed mappings criterion ([3]), it follows, that the mapping $f : uX \to vY$ is u-closed. \Box

The theorem is proved.

Corollary 2.1. Let $f : uX \to vY$ be a bicompact u-continuous mapping, i.e. $f^{-1}(y)$ is bicompact for any $y \in Y$. Then the next conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $f: uX \to vY$ is z_u -closed.
- (2) $f: uX \to vY$ is u-closed.

Proof. $(1 \Longrightarrow 2)$. It follows immediately from the Theorem 2.2.

 $(2\Rightarrow 1)$ It follows from the Proposition 2.2.

Corollary 2.1. allows to define a special perfect mappings.

Definition 2.3. A mapping $f: uX \to vY$ is called u-perfect, if it is u-closed and bicompact.

Remark 2.1. Obviously, every uniformly perfect mapping ([1]) $f : uX \to vY$ is u-perfect, and every u-perfect mapping $f : uX \to vY$ is perfect.

Example 2.2. Let X be a locally bicompact Tychonoff space and aX its one-point Alexandroff bicompactification. Let \mathcal{U}_f be a fine uniformity on X, and \mathcal{U}_a be a minimal precompact uniformity on X (see [7], [6], Chapter II, Ex.10), then $\mathcal{U}_a \subset \mathcal{U}_f$ and $\mathcal{U}_a \neq \mathcal{U}_f$, as for the Samuel bicompactifications $(s_{u_f}X, s\mathcal{U}_f)$ and $(s_{u_a}X, s\mathcal{U}_a)$, we have $s_{u_f}X = \beta X$ is a Stone-Čech bicompactification and $s_{u_a}X = aX$ is the Alexandroff bicompactification. Obviously, $\beta X \neq aX$ (it is suppose that there is more than one uniformity on X). A identical mapping $1_x : \mathcal{U}_a X \to \mathcal{U}_f X$ is a topological homeomorphism, it is not u-continuous mapping. Thus, the class of perfect and closed mappings more wider than the class of u-perfect and u-closed mappings.

The next properties of u-continuous mappings of the uniform spaces are take place.

Proposition 2.3. A composition $g \circ f : uX \to wZ$ of u-continuous mappings $f : uX \to vY$ and $g : vY \to wZ$ is u-continuous mapping.

Proof. Immediately follows from the definition of u-continuous mapping (Definition 1.2).

Theorem 2.3. If a composition $g \circ f : uX \to wZ$ of *u*-continuous mappings $f : uX \to vY$ and $g : vY \to wZ$ is z_u -closed mapping, then restriction $g|_{f(X)} : v'f(X) \to wZ$, where $\mathcal{V}' = \mathcal{V} \wedge f(X)$, is z_u -closed mapping.

Proof. Let $N \in \mathfrak{Z}(v'f(X))$, i.e. N is a uniformly closed in f(X). Then from the properties of the uniformly closed sets ([6]) it is follows there such $N' \in \mathfrak{Z}(vY)$ exists, that $N = N' \cap f(X)$. Then $f^{-1}(N') \in \mathfrak{Z}(uX)$ and $g \circ f : uX \to wZ$ is z_u -closed mapping by the condition of the theorem. We have $g|_{f(X)}(N) = g|_{f(X)}(N' \cap f(X)) = g(N' \cap f(X)) = (g \circ f)(f^{-1}(N'))$ and $g|_{f(X)}(N)$ is closed in Z. The theorem is proved.

Corollary 2.2. If a composition $g \circ f : uX \to wZ$ of *u*-continuous mappings $f : uX \to vY$ and $g : vY \to wZ$ is *u*-closed mapping, then restriction $g|_{f(X)} : v'f(X) \to wZ$, where $\mathcal{V}' = \mathcal{V} \wedge f(X)$, is *u*-closed mapping.

Proof. Proof follows from the z_u – closeness of any u – closed mapping (Proposition 2.4.).

Proposition 2.4. Let $f : uX \to vY$ be u-continuous mapping and u'X' be a uniform subspace of uX. Then restriction $f|_{X'} : u'X' \to v'f(X')$, where $\mathcal{V}' = \mathcal{V} \wedge f(X')$, is u-continuous mapping too.

Proof. Let F be a uniformly closed set in f(X'), i.e. $F \in \mathfrak{Z}(v'f(X'))$. Then there such function $f \in C^*(v'f(X'))$ exists, that $F = g^{-1}(0)$. By the Katetov Theorem ([7]) there such function $h \in C^*(vY)$ exists, that $h|_{f(X')} = g$. Then a function $h \circ f : uX \to u_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{R}$ is u-continuous and $(h \circ f)|_{X'} = g \circ f|_{X'}$. Hence we have $(g \circ f|_{X'})^{-1}(0) = (h \circ f)^{-1}|_{X'} = f^{-1}(h^{-1}(0)) \cap X' = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(0)) \in \mathfrak{Z}(u'X')$, where $f^{-1}(h^{-1}(0)) \in \mathfrak{Z}(uX)$ and $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(0)) \cap X' = f^{-1}(h^{-1}(0))$. The proposition is proved.

Proposition 2.5. Let $f : uX \to vY$ be $z_u - closed$ mapping and v'Y' be a uniform subspace of vY, where $\mathcal{V}' = \mathcal{V} \wedge Y'$ and $Y' \subset Y$. Then a mapping of restriction $f|_{f^{-1}(Y')} : u'f^{-1}(Y) \to v'Y'$, where $\mathcal{U}' = \mathcal{U} \wedge f^{-1}(Y')$, is $z_u - closed$ mapping too.

Proof. It follows from the equality $f|_{f^{-1}(Y')}(N \cap f^{-1}(Y')) = f(N) \cap Y'$ for any $N \in \mathfrak{Z}(X)$. Proposition is proved.

Proposition 2.6. Let $f : uX \to uY$ be u-closed mapping and u'X' be closed uniform subspace of uX. Then a restriction $f|_{X'} : u'X' \to v'f(X')$, where $\mathcal{U}' = \mathcal{U} \land f(X)$, is a u-closed mapping too.

Proof. It follows from the Proposition 2.13. and definition of u-closed mappings.

Theorem 2.4. Let $f : uX \to vY$ and $g : uX \to wZ$ be a surjective u-continuous mappings of the uniform spaces uX, vY, wZ and f is a u-closed mapping. Then diagonal product $f \bigtriangleup g : uX \to v \times wY \times Z$, where $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$, is the product of the uniformities \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} , is u-closed mapping. *Proof.* For a diagonal mapping $f riangleq g: uX \to v \times wY \times Z$, by the definition, we have (f riangleq g)(x) = (f(x), g(x)). Let $i_X : uX \to uX$ and $i_Z : wZ \to wZ$ be identical uniform homeomorphisms. Suppose $f \times i_Z : u \times wX \times Z \to v \times wY \times Z$, $i_X riangleq g: uX \to u \times wX \times Z$, where $(f \times i_Z) : (x, z) = (f(x), z)$ and $(i_X riangleq g)(x) = (x, g(x))$ for any $x \in X$ and $z \in Z$. If $F \subset X$ and $M \subset Z$ are closed sets, then $f(F) \times M$ is closed subset of $Y \times Z$, hence, $(f \times i_Z)(F, M) = f(F) \times M$ and $f \times i_Z$ is *u*-closed mapping. The mapping $i_X riangleq g: X \to X \times Z$ is uniform homeomorphism of the space uX and $\Gamma_g = \{(x, g(x)) : x \in X\}$ is a graph of a mapping g, it is a closed subspace of $u \times wX \times Z$. The closeness of the graph Γ_g in $X \times Z$ follows from the uX and wZ are Hausdorf spaces. Then mapping f riangleq g is a composition of the mappings $i_X riangleq g: uX \to u \times wX \times Z$ and $f \times i_Z|_{\Gamma_g} : v'\Gamma_g \to v \times wY \times Z$, where $\mathcal{V}' = \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W} \wedge \Gamma_g$ and mapping $(f \times i_Z)|_{\Gamma_g}$ is u-closed as a restriction of the closed mapping $f \times i_Z$ onto the closed subspace $\Gamma_g \subset X \times Z$, and $i_X riangleq g: uX \to v'\Gamma_g$ is a uniform homeomorphism. Thus, $f riangleq g = (f \times i_Z)|_{\Gamma_g} \circ (i_X riangleq g)$ is a u-closed mapping. We have a diagram. The theorem is proved. □



Theorem 2.5. Let $f: uX \to vY$ and $g: vY \to wZ$ are a surjective u-continuous mappings of the uniform spaces uX, vY, wZ and a composition $g \circ f: uX \to wZ$ is u-closed mapping. Then the mapping $f: X \to vY$ is u-closed too.

Proof. By the condition of theorem $g \circ f : uX \to wZ$ is *u*-closed and $f : uX \to vY$ is a *u*-continuous mapping, according to the Theorem 2.16., $f \vartriangle (g \circ f) : uX \to u \times wX \times Z$ is a *u*-closed mapping. By the surjectivity of mappings f and $g \circ f$, we have $(f \bigtriangleup (g \circ f))(x) = (f(x), (g \circ f)(x))$ for any $x \in X$. Then $\{(f(x), (g \circ f)(x)) : x \in X\} = \{f(x), g(f(x)) : x \in X\} = \{(y, g(y)) : y \in Y\} = \Gamma_g$.

Obviously, that $(f \ (g \circ f))(x) = \{f(x), g(f(x)) : x \in X\} = \{(y, g(y)) : y \in Y\} = \Gamma_g$. The graph Γ_g is closed subspace $Y \times Z$ and the mapping $\pi_Y|_{\Gamma_g} : v'\Gamma_g \to vY$, where $\pi_Y : v \times wY \times Z \to vY$ and $\mathcal{V}' = \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W} \wedge \Gamma_g$, is uniform homeomorphic mapping. Then $f = \pi_Y|_{\Gamma_g} \circ (f \ (g \circ f)) : uX \to vY$ is u-closed mapping as a composition of the uniform homeomorphism $\pi_Y|_{\Gamma_g} : v'\Gamma_g \to vY$ and u-closed mapping $f \ (g \circ f) : uX \to u \times wX \times Z$. The next diagram takes place. We note, that the closeness of graph Γ_g in $Y \times Z$ is essential, as soon as for any



closed $F \subset X$, $(f \bigtriangleup (g \circ f))(F) = F'$ is closed in Γ_g , hence it is closed in $Y \times Z$ and its image $\pi_Y|_{\Gamma_g}(F')$ is closed in Y. It means, that $f(F) = \pi_Y|_{\Gamma_g}(F')$ and f(F) is closed in Y, i.e. f is u-closed. The theorem is proved.

References

- [1] Bourbaki, N., (1968), General Topology, Basis Structures, Nauka, 272 pp. (in Russian).
- [2] Charalambous, M.G., (1971), Uniform Dimension Function, Ph.D. dissertation. Univ. of London.
- [3] Engelking, R., (1986), General Topology, Mir, 752 pp. (in Russian)
- [4] Frolik, Z., (1961), Applications of complete families of continuous functions to the theory of Q-spaces, Czech. Math. J., 5(11), pp.115-133.
- [5] Gillman, L., Jerison, M., (1960), Rings of Continuous Functions, New York.
- [6] Isbell, J.R., (1964), Uniform Spaces, Providence, 175 pp.
- [7] Katetov, M., (1951), On real-valued functions in topological spaces, Fund.-Math., 38, pp.85-91.



Asylbek Chekeev is a Habilitated Doctor of mathematics (Latvia University, 1998), Doctor of physics – mathematical sciences, Dean of Mathematics, Informatics and Cybernetics Department, Kyrgyz National University named after J. Balasagyn. He is a Full Professor and an author and co-author of more than 120 articles and 4 books. Under his supervision 5 candidate dissertations and many Ph.D. have been successfully defended.



Tumar Kasymova is a candidate of physical and mathematical sciences at the Institute of Theoretical and Applied Mathematics of the National Academy of Sciences of Kyrgyz Republic since 1999. She is an associate professor of Algebra, Geometry and Topology Chair of Mathematics, Informatics and Cybernetics Department, Kyrgyz National University named after J. Balasagyn. She is an author and co-author of more than 30 research publications in the fields of general and uniform topologies.



Aigul Chanbaeva is a competitor of Algebra, Geometry and Topology Chair of Mathematics, Informatics and Cybernetics Department, Kyrgyz National University named after J. Balasagyn.