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1. Introduction

There are several thousand papers and books devoted to the theory of groups. But still there are unsolved problems, most of which arise in solving of problems of natural sciences as physics, biology etc. In particular, if configuration of physical system is located on a lattice (in our case on the graph of a group) then the configuration can be considered as a function defined on the lattice. Usually, more important configuration (functions) are periodic ones. It is well-known that if the lattice has a group representation then periodicity of a function can be defined by a given subgroup of the representation. More precisely, if a subgroup, say $H$, is given, then one can define $H$-periodic function as a function, which has a constant value (depending only on the coset) on each (right or left) coset of $H$. So the periodicity is related to a special partition of the group (that presents the lattice on which our physical system is located). There are many works devoted to several kind of partitions of groups (lattices) (see e.g. [1], [3], [4], [6]).

Cayley tree. A Cayley tree (Bethe lattice) $\Gamma^k$ of order $k \geq 1$ is an infinite homogeneous tree, i.e., a graph without cycles, such that exactly $k+1$ edges originate from each vertex. Let $\Gamma^k = (V, L)$ where $V$ is the set of vertices and $L$ that of edges (arcs). Two vertices $x$ and $y$ are called nearest neighbors if there exists an edge $l \in L$ connecting them. We will use the notation $l = \langle x, y \rangle$. A collection of nearest neighbor pairs $\langle x, x_1 \rangle, \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle, \ldots, \langle x_{d-1}, y \rangle$ is called a path from $x$ to $y$. The distance $d(x, y)$ on the Cayley tree is the number of edges of the shortest path from $x$ to $y$.

A group representation of the Cayley tree. Let $G_k$ be a free product of $k+1$ cyclic groups of the second order with generators $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{k+1}$, respectively.

It is known that there exists a one to one correspondence between the set of vertices $V$ of the Cayley tree $\Gamma^k$ and the group $G_k$.

To give this correspondence we fix an arbitrary element $x_0 \in V$ and let it correspond to the unit element $e$ of the group $G_k$. Using $a_1, \ldots, a_{k+1}$ we numerate the nearest-neighbors of element $x_0$: $x_1 = a_1 x_0, x_2 = a_2 x_1, \ldots, x_{k+1} = a_{k+1} x_k$.
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e, moving by positive direction. Now we’ll give numeration of the nearest-neighbors of each 
\(a_i, i = 1, \ldots, k + 1\) by \(a_i a_j, j = 1, \ldots, k + 1\). Since all \(a_i\) have the common neighbor \(e\) we give to it \(a_i a_i = a_i^2 = e\). Other neighbor are numerated starting from \(a_i a_1\) by the positive direction. We numerate the set of all the nearest-neighbors of each \(a_i a_j\) by words \(a_i a_j a_q, q = 1, \ldots, k + 1\), starting from \(a_i a_j = a_i\) by the positive direction. Iterating this argument one gets a one-to-one correspondence between the set of vertices \(V\) of the Cayley tree \(\Gamma^k\) and the group \(G_k\).

In Chapter 1 of [4] it was constructed several normal subgroups of the group representation of the Cayley tree. In particular some normal subgroups of index 4 and index 6 were constructed. In this paper we shall give full description of normal subgroups of index four and index six.

**Normal subgroups of finite index of the group \(G_k\).** Any (minimal represented) element \(x \in G_k\) has the following form: \(x = a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \ldots a_{i_m}\), where \(1 \leq i_m \leq k + 1, m = 1, \ldots, n\). The number \(n\) is called the length of the word \(x\) and is denoted by \(l(x)\). The number of letters \(a_i, i = 1, \ldots, k + 1\), that enter the non-contractible representation of the word \(x\) is denoted by \(w_x(a_i)\).

The following is well-known (see [2],[5]).

**Proposition 1.1.** Let \(\varphi\) be homomorphism of the group \(G_k\) with the kernel \(H\). Then \(H\) is a normal subgroup of the group \(G_k\) and \(\varphi(G_k) \cong G_k/H\), (where \(G_k/H\) is a quotient group) i.e., the index \(|G_k : H|\) coincides with the order \(|\varphi(G_k)|\) of the group \(\varphi(G_k)\).

Let \(H\) be a normal subgroup of a group \(G\). Define the homomorphism \(g\) from \(G\) onto the quotient group \(G/H\) by \(g(a) = aH\) for all \(a \in G\). Then \(\text{Ker}\varphi = H\).

**Definition 1.1.** Let \(M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_m\) be some sets and \(M_i \neq M_j\), for \(i \neq j\). We call the intersection \(\cap_{i=1}^m M_i\) contractible if there exists \(i_0(1 \leq i_0 \leq m)\) such that \(\cap_{i=1}^m M_i = \left(\cap_{i=1}^{i_0 - 1} M_i\right) \cap \left(\cap_{i=i_0+1}^m M_i\right)\).

Let \(N_k = \{1, \ldots, k + 1\}\). The following Proposition describes several normal subgroups of \(G_k\). Put

\[
H_A = \left\{ x \in G_k \mid \sum_{i \in A} \omega_x(a_i) \text{ is even} \right\}. \tag{1}
\]

**Proposition 1.2.** [4] For any \(0 \neq A \subseteq N_k\), the set \(H_A \subset G_k\) satisfies the following properties:

(a) \(H_A\) is a normal subgroup and \(|G_k : H_A| = 2\);
(b) \(H_A \neq H_B\), for all \(A \neq B \subseteq N_k\);
(c) Let \(A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m \subseteq N_k\). If \(\cap_{i=1}^m H_{A_i}\) is non-contractible, then it is a normal subgroup of index \(2^m\).

2. **New normal subgroups of finite index**

2.1. **The case of index four.**

**Proposition 2.1.** Let \(\varphi\) be an epimorphism of the group \(G_k\) onto group \((Z_n, +_n)\), \(n \in N\). Then \(n \in \{1, 2\}\).

**Proof.** Let \(a_i \in G_k, i \in N_k\). Then \([0]_n = \varphi(e) = \varphi(a_i^2) = (\varphi(a_i))^2\). Hence for the order of \(\varphi(a_i)\) we have \(o(\varphi(a_i)) \in \{1, 2\}\).

**Case 1.** Let \(n\) be an odd number. By Lagrange’s theorem, the group \((Z_n, +_n)\) hasn’t any element \(\varphi(a_j) \in G_k, j \in N_k\) such that \(o(\varphi(a_j)) = 2\), i.e., \(\varphi(x) = [0]_n\) for any \(x \in G_k\). Since \(\varphi(G_k) \cong Z_n\) we have \(n = 1\).

**Case 2.** Let \(n\) be an even number. Denote \(A = \{i \mid \varphi(a_i) = [0]_n\}, B = \{i \mid \varphi(a_i) = [n/2]_n\}\).
Since \( a_1^2 = e \) and \( \varphi \) is an epimorphism we note that there is only one element \([c]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n\) such that \( o([c]_n) = 2\), i.e., \( [c]_n = [n/2]_n = 2\). It is clear that if \( c \in G_k \) such that \( o(c) = m \) then \( o(\varphi(c)) \) divides \( m \). Hence \( A \cup B = N_k \). Then since \( \varphi \simeq \mathbb{Z}_n \) we have \( n = 2 \). Consequently

\[
\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 
[0]_2, & \text{if } \sum_{i \in B} \omega_x(a_i) \text{ is even,} \\
[1]_2, & \text{if } \sum_{i \in B} \omega_x(a_i) \text{ is odd.} 
\end{cases}
\]

Lemma 2.1. [2]. Every finite cyclic group of order \( n \) is isomorphic to \((\mathbb{Z}_n,+_n)\).

By Proposition 2.1, Lemma 2.1 we get

Corollary 2.1. Let \( \varphi : G_k \to \langle a \rangle \) (finite cyclic group) be an epimorphism of groups. Then \( |G_k : \ker \varphi| \in \{1, 2\} \).

Corollary 2.2. Any normal subgroup of index 2 has the form \((1)\), i.e, \( \{HA\mid A \subseteq N_k\} = \{H\mid |G_k : H| = 2\} \).

Consider the set \( G = \{e, a, b, c\} \). Let \( e \) be an identity element of \( G \) and define \(*\) on \( G \) by means of the following operation:

\[
b * a = a * b = c, \quad c * a = a * c = b, \quad c * b = b * c = a, \quad a^2 = e, \quad b^2 = e, \quad c^2 = e.
\]

This group is well-known as Klein 4-group.

Proposition 2.2. [2]. There are only two groups of order 4 (up to isomorphism), a cyclic group of order 4 and \( K_4 \) (Klein 4-group).

Theorem 2.1. Any normal subgroup of index 4 has the form \( HA \cap HB \), i.e.

\[
\{H\mid |G_k : H| = 4\} = \{HA \cap HB\mid A, B \subseteq N_k, A \neq B\}.
\]

Proof. By Proposition 2.1 there is not epimorphism \( \varphi \) of \( G_k \) onto \((\mathbb{Z}_4,+_4)\). By Proposition 2.2 we have \( \varphi(G_k) = K_4 \).

(a) By Proposition 1.2 we get

\[
\{HA \cap HB\mid A, B \subseteq N_k, A \neq B \neq \emptyset\} \subseteq \{\ker \varphi\mid |G_k : \ker \varphi| = 4\}.
\]

(b) Let \( S = \{A_0, A_1, A_2, A_3\} \), \( A_i \subseteq N_k \), \( \cup_{i=0}^3 A_i = N_k \). Here and further on \(|S|\) denotes the cardinality of \( S \). It’s easy to check \(|S| \geq 3\).

Case 1. Let \(|S| = 3\). If \( A_0 \neq \emptyset \) then \( |G_k : \ker \varphi| = 2\). Hence there exist \( j \in \{1, 2, 3\} \) such that \( A_0 = A_j = \emptyset \). Let \( j = 3 \) (the case \( j \in \{1, 2\} \) is similar). Then there exists a unique epimorphism (corresponding to \( A_1, A_2 \)), i.e.,

\[
\varphi_{A_1A_2}(x) = \begin{cases} 
\begin{align*}
& e, & \text{if } \sum_{i \in A_1} \omega_x(a_i), \sum_{i \in A_2} \omega_x(a_i) \text{ are even,} \\
& a, & \text{if } \sum_{i \in A_1} \omega_x(a_i) \text{ is odd, } \sum_{i \in A_2} \omega_x(a_i) \text{ is even,} \\
& b, & \text{if } \sum_{i \in A_1} \omega_x(a_i) \text{ is even, } \sum_{i \in A_2} \omega_x(a_i) \text{ is odd,} \\
& c, & \text{if } \sum_{i \in A_1} \omega_x(a_i), \sum_{i \in A_2} \omega_x(a_i) \text{ are odd.}
\end{align*}
\end{cases}
\]

Then

\[
\ker \varphi_{A_1A_2} = HA_1 \cap HA_2 \subseteq \{HA \cap HB\mid A, B \subseteq N_k\}.
\]

Case 2. \(|S| = 4\).
Case 2.1. Let \( A_3 = \emptyset \) (the case \( A_1, A_2 \) are similar). Then \( |A_i| \geq 1, \ i \in \{0,1,2\} \) and \( \sum_{i=0}^2 |A_i| = k + 1 \). For all \( x \in G_k \) we can construct following epimorphism
\[
\varphi_{A_0A_1A_2}(x) = \begin{cases} 
  e, & \text{if } \sum_{i \in A_1} \omega_x(a_i), \sum_{i \in A_2} \omega_x(a_i) \text{ are even,} \\
  a, & \text{if } \sum_{i \in A_1} \omega_x(a_i) \text{ is odd, } \sum_{i \in A_2} \omega_x(a_i) \text{ is even,} \\
  b, & \text{if } \sum_{i \in A_1} \omega_x(a_i) \text{ are even, } \sum_{i \in A_2} \omega_x(a_i) \text{ are odd,} \\
  c, & \text{if } \sum_{i \in A_1} \omega_x(a_i), \sum_{i \in A_2} \omega_x(a_i) \text{ are odd.}
\end{cases}
\]
Then
\[
\ker \varphi_{A_0A_1A_2} = H_{A_1} \cap H_{A_2} \subset \{ H_A \cap H_B \mid A, B \subseteq N_k \}.
\]
Case 2.2. \( |A_0| \geq 0, |A_i| \geq 1, \ i \in \{1,2,3\} \) and \( \sum_{i=0}^3 |A_i| = k + 1 \). Here, as before we can construct a unique epimorphism \( \varphi_{A_0A_1A_2A_3} \).
\[
\ker \varphi_{A_0A_1A_2A_3} = \left\{ x \mid \sum_{i \in A_1 \cup A_3} \omega_x(a_i), \sum_{i \in A_2 \cup A_3} \omega_x(a_i) \text{ are even} \right\}.
\]
Hence
\[
\ker \varphi_{A_0A_1A_2A_3} = H_{A_1 \cup A_3} \cap H_{A_2 \cup A_3} \subset \{ H_A \cap H_B \mid A, B \subseteq N_k \}.
\]
Thus we have proved
\[
\{ \ker \varphi \mid |G_k : \ker \varphi | = 4 \} \subseteq \{ H_A \cap H_B \mid A, B \subseteq N_k, \ A, B \neq \emptyset \}.
\]
This completes the proof. \( \square \)

2.2. The case of index six.

Proposition 2.3. [2]. There are only two (up to isomorphism) groups of order 6, a cyclic group of order 6 and \( S_3 \).

Let \( \varphi \) is a homomorphism of the group \( G_k \). Then by Proposition 2.3 we get following Corollary.

Corollary 2.3. If \( |G_k : \ker \varphi | = 6 \) then \( \varphi(G_k) \simeq \langle S_3, o \rangle \).

Let \( \Xi_n = \{ A_1, A_2, ..., A_n \} \) be a partition of the set \( N_k/A_0 \), where \( A_0 \subset N_k, \ 0 \leq |A_0| < k + 1 \). Put \( m_j \) is a minimal element of \( A_j, \ j \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\} \).

Now we’ll define an equivalence relation on the set \( G_k \). Let \( x = a_{i_1}a_{i_2}...a_{i_n} \in G_k \). If \( i_p \in A_0, \ p \in \{1,2,\ldots,q\} \) then we’ll put \( e \) instead of \( a_p \), and if \( i_p \in A_j, \ j \in \{1,\ldots,n\} \) then we’ll put \( m_j \) instead of \( i_p, \) i.e.,
\[
x = a_{i_1}a_{i_2}...a_{i_p} \rightarrow a_{j_1}a_{j_2}...a_{j_p} = a_{m_{i_1}}a_{m_{i_2}}...a_{m_{i_p}} = \bar{x}, \ s \leq n.
\]
\( \bar{x} \) is a non-contractible representation of the word \( a_{m_{i_1}}a_{m_{i_2}}...a_{m_{i_s}} \). Introduce the following equivalence relation on the set \( G_k : x \sim y \) if \( \bar{x} = \bar{y} \). It’s easy to see this relation is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

Let \( \Xi_n = \{ A_1, A_2, ..., A_n \} \) be a partition of \( N_k/A_0, \ 0 \leq |A_0| \leq k + 1 - n \). Then we consider function \( u_n : \{ a_1, a_2, ..., a_{k+1} \} \rightarrow \{ e, a_1, ..., a_{k+1} \} \) as
\[
u_n(x) = \begin{cases} 
  e, & \text{if } x = a_i, i \in A_0 \\
  a_{m_j}, & \text{if } x = a_i, i \in A_j, j = 1,2,\ldots,n.
\end{cases}
\]
Define \( \gamma_n : G_k \rightarrow G_k \) by the formula
\[
\gamma_n(x) = \gamma_n(a_{i_1}a_{i_2}...a_{i_s}) = u_n(a_{i_1})u_n(a_{i_2})...u_n(a_{i_s})
\]
Let \( l(\gamma_n(x)) : 6 \), \( n < k + 1 \).

**Proposition 2.4.** Let \( \Xi_n = \{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n\} \) be a partition of \( N_k \setminus A_0 \), \( 0 \leq |A_0| \leq k + 1 - n \). Then the following properties hold

(a) If \( \Xi_2 = \{A_1, A_2\} \) then \( H_{\Xi_2} \) is a normal subgroup of index six of \( G_k \).

(b) Let \( \Xi_3 = \{A_1, A_2, A_3\} \) and \( m_1, m_2, m_2m_1m_2 \) are minimal elements of \( A_1, A_2, A_3 \) respectively then \( H_{\Xi_3} \) is a normal subgroup of index six of \( G_k \).

**Proof.** We’ll prove Property (a) (Property (b) is similar). Let \( x = a_{i_1}a_{i_2}\ldots a_{i_n} \in G_k \). It’s sufficient to show \( x^{-1}H_{\Xi_2}x \subseteq H_{\Xi_2} \). Let \( l(\tilde{x}) \) be odd (the case even is similar) and \( h \in H_{\Xi_2} \) then \( \tilde{x} = a_{m_1}a_{m_2}\ldots a_{m_2} \) and \( h = a_{m_1}a_{m_2}\ldots a_{m_2} \), where \( i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2 \in \{1, 2\} \). Let \( y \) be the non-contractible representation of the word \( \tilde{x}^{-1}h\tilde{x} \). Then \( l(y) = l(\tilde{h}) \). Since \( x^{-1}hx = y \) we have \( \gamma_2(x^{-1}hx) : 6 \). Hence \( x^{-1}hx \in H_{\Xi_2} \). This completes the proof.

Let \( \varphi : G_k \rightarrow S_3 \) be an epimorphism. Denote \( B_0 = \{i| \varphi(a_i) = e\} \), \( B_1 = \{i| \varphi(a_i) = (12)\} \), \( B_2 = \{i| \varphi(a_i) = (13)\} \), \( B_3 = \{i| \varphi(a_i) = (23)\} \).

**Remark 2.1.** \( \{B_1, B_2, B_3\} \) is a partition of \( N_k \setminus B_0 \).

**Lemma 2.2.** Let \( \varphi : G_k \rightarrow S_3 \) be an epimorphism. For any \( x \in G_k \) there exist \( y \in G_k \) such that \( \varphi(x) = \varphi(y) \) and \( l(y) \leq 6 \).

**Proof.** Let \( x = a_{i_1}a_{i_2}\ldots a_{i_n} \in G_k \) and \( y = a_{i_1}\ldots a_{i_{n-6}}, \) where \( s = [\frac{n}{3}] \), \( a_{i_0} = e \). Then \( l(y) \leq 6 \). From \( (23) = (13) \circ (12) \circ (13) \) and \( (12) \circ (13) \circ (12) \circ (13) \circ (12) \circ (13) = e \) we get \( \varphi(x) = \varphi(y) \).

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \( H \) be a normal subgroup of the group \( G_k \). Then \( \{|H| \mid |G_k : H| = 6\} = \{H_{\Xi_2}, H_{\Xi_3}\} \).

**Proof.** Let \( \varphi : G_k \rightarrow S_3 \) be an epimorphism with \( |G_k : \text{Ker}\varphi| = 6 \). By Corollary 2.3 we get \( \varphi(G_k) \cong S_3 \). By Proposition 2.4, \( H_{\Xi_2} \subseteq \{|H| \mid |G_k : H| = 6\} \). Hence it’s sufficient to check \( \{|H| \mid |G_k : H| = 6\} \subseteq H_{\Xi_2} \).

Case 1. Let \( \Xi_2 = \{A_1, A_2\} \) be a partition of \( N_k \setminus A_0 \). Then we can construct six epimorphism (corresponding to \( A_0, A_1, A_2 \)). By Lemma 2.2 we can show these epimorphisms. Put \( m_i \) is a minimal element of \( B_i \), \( i \in \{1, 2, 3\} \), defined in (4).

Case 1.1. Let \( A_1 = B_1 \) and \( A_2 = B_2 \) \( (A_1 = B_2, \ A_2 = B_1 \) are similar). Then

\[
\varphi^{(1)}_{A_0A_1A_2}(x) = \begin{cases} 
    e, \text{ if } \tilde{x} \in \{e, a_{m_1}a_{m_2}a_{m_1}a_{m_2}a_{m_1}a_{m_2}a_{m_1}a_{m_2}a_{m_1}\}, \\
    (12), \text{ if } \tilde{x} \in \{a_{m_1}a_{m_2}a_{m_1}a_{m_2}\}, \\
    (13), \text{ if } \tilde{x} \in \{a_{m_2}a_{m_1}a_{m_2}a_{m_1}\}, \\
    (23), \text{ if } \tilde{x} \in \{a_{m_1}a_{m_2}a_{m_1}\}, \\
    (312), \text{ if } \tilde{x} \in \{a_{m_2}a_{m_1}a_{m_2}a_{m_1}\}, \\
    (231), \text{ if } \tilde{x} \in \{a_{m_2}a_{m_1}a_{m_2}a_{m_1}\}. 
\end{cases}
\]

Case 1.2. \( A_1 = B_1, \ A_2 = B_3 \) \( (B_1 = A_2, \ B_3 = A_1 \) are similar).
Case 1.3. $A_1 = B_2$, $A_2 = B_3$ ($A_1 = B_3$, $A_2 = B_2$ are similar).

$$
\varphi_{A_0A_1A_2}^{(2)}(x) = \begin{cases}
 e, & \text{if } \hat{x} \in \{e, a_{m_1}a_{m_2}a_{m_3}a_{m_1}a_{m_2}a_{m_3}, a_{m_3}a_{m_2}a_{m_3}a_{m_2}a_{m_3}a_{m_2}\}, \\
(12), & \text{if } \hat{x} \in \{a_{m_1}, a_{m_2}a_{m_3}a_{m_2}a_{m_3}, a_{m_3}a_{m_2}a_{m_3}a_{m_2}a_{m_3}\}, \\
(13), & \text{if } \hat{x} \in \{a_{m_2}, a_{m_3}a_{m_2}a_{m_3}a_{m_2}\}, \\
(23), & \text{if } \hat{x} \in \{a_{m_3}, a_{m_2}a_{m_3}a_{m_2}\}, \\
(312), & \text{if } \hat{x} \in \{a_{m_2}a_{m_3}, a_{m_3}a_{m_2}a_{m_3}\}, \\
(231), & \text{if } \hat{x} \in \{a_{m_3}a_{m_2}, a_{m_2}a_{m_3}a_{m_2}\}.
\end{cases}
$$

Hence

$$Ker\varphi_{A_0A_1A_2}^{(i)} = H_{\Xi_2}.$$

Case 2. Let $\Psi_3 = \{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$ be a partition of $N_k \setminus A_0$. Then there exist six epimorphism (corresponding to $A_1, A_2, A_3$). Let $A_i = B_i$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ (other cases are similar). It’s easy to see $Ker\varphi_{A_0A_1A_2A_3}^{(i)}$ is equal to

$$\{x \in G_k \mid \hat{x} \in \{e, a_{m_1}a_{m_2}a_{m_1}a_{m_3}, a_{m_1}a_{m_2}a_{m_1}a_{m_3}a_{m_2}a_{m_3}, a_{m_2}a_{m_3}a_{m_2}a_{m_3}a_{m_2}a_{m_3}\}\}.$$

From $\varphi(a_{m_1}a_{m_2}a_{m_1}) = \varphi(a_{m_3})$ we get $Ker\varphi_{A_0A_1A_2A_3} = H_{\Xi_3}$. □
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