



Crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface analysis of aquabis(nicotinamide- κN^1)bis(2,4,6-trimethylbenzoato- $\kappa^2 O, O'$)cadmium(II)

Tuncer Hökelek, Safiye Özkaya and Hacali Necefoğlu

Acta Cryst. (2018). E74, 246-251





Received 18 January 2018 Accepted 23 January 2018

Edited by D.-J. Xu, Zhejiang University (Yuquan Campus), China

Keywords: crystal structure; cadmium(II); transition metal complexes of benzoic acid and nicotinamide derivatives.

CCDC reference: 1818756

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



OPEN d ACCESS

Crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface analysis of aquabis(nicotinamide- κN^1)bis(2,4,6-trimethyl-benzoato- $\kappa^2 O, O'$)cadmium(II)

Tuncer Hökelek,^a* Safiye Özkaya^b and Hacali Necefoğlu^{b,c}

^aDepartment of Physics, Hacettepe University, 06800 Beytepe, Ankara, Turkey, ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Kafkas University, 36100 Kars, Turkey, and ^cInternational Scientific Research Centre, Baku State University, 1148 Baku, Azerbaijan. *Correspondence e-mail: merzifon@hacettepe.edu.tr

The asymmetric unit of the title complex, $[Cd(C_{10}H_{11}O_2)_2(C_6H_6N_2O)_2(H_2O)]$, contains one half of the complex molecule, with the Cd^{II} cation and the coordinated water O atom residing on a twofold rotation axis. The Cd^{II} cation is coordinated in a bidentate manner to the carboxylate O atoms of the two symmetry-related 2,4,6-trimethylbenzoate (TMB) anions and to the water O atom at distances of 2.297 (2), 2.527 (2) and 2.306 (3) Å to form a distorted pentagonal arrangement, while the distorted pentagonal-bipyramidal coordination sphere is completed by the two pyridine N atoms of the two symmetryrelated monodentate nicotinamide (NA) ligands at distances of 2.371 (3) Å in the axial positions. In the crystal, molecules are linked via intermolecular N- $H \cdots O$, $O - H \cdots O$ and $C - H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds with $R_2^2(12)$, $R_3^3(8)$, $R_3^3(14)$, $R_3^3(16), R_3^3(20), R_3^3(22), R_4^4(22), R_5^5(16), R_6^6(16)$ and $R_6^6(18)$ ring motifs, forming a three-dimensional architecture. The Hirshfeld surface analysis of the crystal structure indicates that the most important contributions for the crystal packing are $H \cdots H$ (56.9%), $H \cdots C/C \cdots H$ (21.3%) and $H \cdots O/O \cdots H$ (19.0%) interactions.

1. Chemical context

Nicotinamide (NA) is one form of niacin. A deficiency of this vitamin leads to loss of copper from the body, known as pellagra disease. Victims of pellagra show unusually high serum and urinary copper levels (Krishnamachari, 1974). The crystal structure of NA was first determined by Wright & King (1954). The NA ring is the reactive part of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD) and its phosphate (NADP), which are the major electron carriers in many biological oxidation–reduction reactions (You *et al.*, 1978). The nicotinic acid derivative *N*,*N*-diethylnicotinamide (DENA) is an important respiratory stimulant (Bigoli *et al.*, 1972).

Transition metal complexes with ligands of biochemical interest such as imidazole and some N-protected amino acids show interesting physical and/or chemical properties, through which they may find applications in biological systems (Antolini *et al.*, 1982). Crystal structures of metal complexes with benzoic acid derivatives have been reported extensively because of the varieties of the coordination modes. For example, Co and Cd complexes with 4-aminobenzoic acid (Catterick *et al.*, 1974), 4-nitrobenzoic acid (Nadzhafov *et al.*, 1981) and phthalic acid (Adiwidjaja *et al.*, 1978), and Cu complexes with 4-hydrochloric acid (Shnulin *et al.*, 1981) have been described.

The structure–function–coordination relationships of the arylcarboxylate ion in Cd^{II} complexes of benzoic acid derivatives change depending on the nature and position of the substituted groups on the benzene ring, the nature of the additional ligand molecule or solvent, and the pH and temperature of synthesis (Shnulin *et al.*, 1981). When pyridine and its derivatives are used instead of water molecules, the structure is completely different (Catterick *et al.*, 1974).

The structures of some mononuclear complexes obtained from the reactions of transition metal(II) ions with nicotinamide (NA) and some benzoic acid derivatives as ligands have been determined previously, e.g. $[Zn(C_7H_5O_3)_2(C_6H_6N_2O)_2]$ [(II); Necefoğlu et al., 2002], [Mn($C_7H_4ClO_2$)₂($C_{10}H_{14}N_2O$)₂-(H₂O)₂] [(III); Hökelek et al., 2008], [Zn(C₈H₈NO₂)₂(C₆H₆-N₂O)₂]·H₂O [(IV); Hökelek et al., 2009a], [Mn(C₉H₁₀NO₂)₂- $(C_6H_6N_2O)(H_2O)_2$ [(V); Hökelek et al., 2009b] and $[Co(C_0H_{10}NO_2)_2(C_6H_6N_2O)(H_2O)_2]$ [(VI); Hökelek et al., 2009c]. The structure determination of the title compound, (I), a cadmium complex with two 2,4,6-trimethylbenzoate (TMB) and two nicotinamide (NA) ligands and one coordinated water molecule, was undertaken in order to compare the results obtained with those reported previously. In this context, we synthesized the Cd^{II}-containing title compound and report herein its crystal and molecular structures along with the Hirshfeld surface analysis.



2. Structural commentary

The asymmetric unit of the crystal structure of the mononuclear title complex contains half of a Cd^{II} cation (site symmetry 2), one 2,4,6-trimethylbenzoate (TMB) anion and one nicotinamide (NA) molecule together with half of a water molecule (point group symmetry 2), the TMB and NA ligands coordinating in bidentate and monodentate manners, respectively (Fig. 1).

The Cd^{II} cation is coordinated bidentately to the carboxylate O atoms (O1, O2, O1ⁱ and O2ⁱ) of two symmetryrelated 2,4,6-trimethylbenzoate (TMB) anions and to the water O atom (O4) at distances of 2.297 (2), 2.527 (2) and 2.306 (3) Å, respectively, to form a distorted pentagonal arrangement. The sum of the bond angles $O1-Cd1-O1^{i}$ [87.57 (11)°], O1-Cd1-O2 [53.63 (7)°], $O1^{i}-Cd1-O2^{i}$ [53.63 (7)°], O2-Cd1-O4 [84.47 (5)°] and $O2^{i}-Cd1-O4$ [84.47 (5)°] in the basal plane around Cd^{II} cation is 363.77° [symmetry code: (i) $1 - x, y, \frac{1}{2} - z$]. This confirms the presence of the Cd^{II} cation with a small deviation from the basal plane. The distorted pentagonal-bipyramidal coordination sphere is completed by the two pyridine N atoms (N1 and N1ⁱ) of the two symmetry-related monodentate nicotinamide (NA) ligands at distances of 2.371 (3) Å in the axial positions (Fig. 1).

The near equalities of the C1–O1 [1.249 (4) Å] and C1– O2 [1.253 (3) Å] bonds in the carboxylate groups indicate delocalized bonding arrangements, rather than localized single and double bonds. The O2-C1-O1 bond angle $[121.7 (3)^{\circ}]$ seems to be slightly decreased than that present in a free acid $[122.2^{\circ}]$. The O2-C1-O1 bond angle may be compared with the corresponding values of 123.5 (2) and 120.4 (2) $^{\circ}$ in (II), 125.2 (5)° in (III), 119.2 (3) and 123.8 (2)° in (IV), 123.6 (3) and 119.4 (3)° in (V) and 123.86 (13) and 118.49 (14)° in (VI), where the benzoate ions are coordinated to the metal atoms only monodentately in (III), and both monodentately and bidentately in (II), (IV), (V) and (VI). The Cd1 atom lies 0.0192 (1) Å above of the planar (O1/O2/C1) carboxylate group. The O1-Cd1-O2 angle is $53.63(7)^{\circ}$. The corresponding O-M-O angles are 58.79 (6)° in (II), 59.02 (8)° in (IV), 58.45 (9)° in (V) and 60.70 (4)° in (VI). In the TMB anion, the carboxylate group is twisted away from the attached benzene ring, A (C2–C7), ring by $60.94 (18)^\circ$, while the benzene and pyridine rings [pyridine = B (N1/C11-C15)], are oriented at a dihedral angle of 50.32 (11)°. The fourmembered ring D (Cd1/O1/O2/C1) is nearly planar with a maximum deviation of 0.0029 (30) Å (for C1) from the mean plane, and it is oriented at dihedral angles of 60.98 (11) and 81.91 (7)°, with respect to the A and B rings.



Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title complex with the atom-numbering scheme. Unlabelled atoms are related to labelled atoms by the symmetry operation $(1 - x, y, \frac{1}{2} - z)$. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

electronic reprint

research communications

0.80(3)

0.96

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).					
$D - H \cdots A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	
N2-H2 A ···O3 ^{vi}	0.89 (3)	2.26 (4)	3.047 (4)	147 (3)	
$N2 - H2B \cdots O2^{v_{II}}$	0.81(3)	203(3)	2830(4)	168(4)	

Symmetry codes: (iii) -x + 1, -y + 2, -z + 1; (vi) x, -y + 2, $z + \frac{1}{2}$; (vii) x, y, z + 1; (viii) x, -y + 1, $z - \frac{1}{2}$.

1.92 (3)

2 55

170 (3)

161

2.714(3)

3.468 (5)

3. Supramolecular features

 $O4-H41\cdots O3^{iii}$

C8−H8C···O1^{viii}

In the crystal, the molecules are linked *via* intermolecular N– $H_{NA}\cdots O_{NA}$, N– $H_{NA}\cdots O_{C}$, O– $H_{W}\cdots O_{NA}$ and C– $H_{TMB}\cdots O_{C}$ (NA = nicotinamide, C = carboxylate, W = water and TMB = 2,4,6-trimethylbenzoate) hydrogen bonds (Table 1) with $R_2^2(12)$, $R_3^3(8)$, $R_3^3(14)$, $R_3^3(16)$, $R_3^3(20)$, $R_3^3(22)$, $R_4^4(22)$, $R_5^5(16)$, $R_6^6(16)$ and $R_6^6(18)$ ring motifs (Fig. 2), forming a three-dimensional architecture. Hydrogen-bonding and van der Waals contacts are the dominant interactions in the crystal packing. No significant π – π or C–H··· π interactions are observed.

4. Hirshfeld surface analysis

Visulization and exploration of intermolecular close contacts of a structure is invaluable, and this can be achieved using Hirshfeld surface (HS) analysis (Hirshfeld, 1977; Spackman & Jayatilaka, 2009). An HS analysis was carried out by using *CrystalExplorer17.5* (Turner *et al.*, 2017) to investigate the locations of atom···atom short contacts with the potential to form hydrogen bonds and the quantitative ratios of these



5
)
5 (3)
4
(

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, -y + 1, z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) x, y, z - 1; (iii) -x + 1, -y + 2, -z + 1; (iv) $x, -y + 2, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (v) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z.

interactions and the π -stacking interactions in the crystal structure of the title complex.

In the HS plotted over d_{norm} (Fig. 3), the white surface indicates contacts with distances equal to the sum of van der Waals radii, and the red and blue colours indicate distances shorter (in close contact) or longer (distinct contact) than the van der Waals radii, respectively (Venkatesan et al., 2016). The bright-red spots appearing near NA-O3, TMB-O1 and O2, and hydrogen atoms H2A, H2B, H41 and H8C indicate their role as the respective donors and acceptors in the dominant O- $H \cdots O$, $N - H \cdots O$ and $C - H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds; they also appear as blue and red regions corresponding to positive and negative potentials on the HS mapped over electrostatic potential (Spackman et al., 2008; Jayatilaka et al., 2005) as shown in Fig. 4. The blue regions indicate the positive electrostatic potential (hydrogen-bond donors), while the red regions indicate the negative electrostatic potential (hydrogen-bond acceptors). The shape-index of the HS is a tool to visualize the π - π stacking by the presence of adjacent red and blue triangles; if there are no adjacent red and/or blue



Figure 2

Part of the crystal structure. $O-H_W \cdots O_{NA}$, $N-H_{NA} \cdots O_C$ and $N-H_{NA} \cdots O_NA}$ (W = water, C = carboxylate and NA = nicotinamide) hydrogen bonds, enclosing $R_2^2(12)$, $R_3^3(8)$, $R_3^3(14)$, $R_3^3(16)$, $R_3^3(20)$, $R_3^3(22)$, $R_4^4(22)$, $R_5^5(16)$, $R_6^6(16)$ and $R_6^6(18)$ ring motifs are shown as dashed lines. C-bound H atoms have been omitted for clarity.



Figure 3

View of the three-dimensional Hirshfeld surface of the title complex plotted over $d_{\rm norm}$ in the range -0.6741 to 1.6440 a.u.



Figure 4

View of the three-dimensional Hirshfeld surface of the title complex plotted over electrostatic potential energy in the range -0.1379 to 0.1988 a.u. using the STO-3G basis set at the Hartree–Fock level of theory. The N-H···O, O-H···O and C-H···O hydrogen-bond donors and acceptors are viewed as blue and red regions around the atoms corresponding to positive and negative potentials, respectively.



Figure 5 Hirshfeld surface of the title complex plotted over shape-index.

triangles, then there are no π - π interactions. Fig. 5 clearly suggests that there are no π - π interactions in (I).

The overall two-dimensional fingerprint plot, Fig. 6a, and those delineated into $H \cdots H$, $H \cdots C/C \cdots H$, $H \cdots O/O \cdots H$, $H \cdots N/N \cdots H$, $C \cdots C$ and $O \cdots C/C \cdots O$ contacts (McKinnon et al., 2007) are illustrated in Fig. 6b-g, respectively, together with their relative contributions to the Hirshfeld surface. The most important interaction is H...H, contributing 56.9% to the overall crystal packing, which is reflected in Fig. 6b as widely scattered points of high density due to the large hydrogen content of the molecule. The single spike in the centre at $d_e = d_i = 1.2$ Å in Fig. 6b is due to a short interatomic H···H contact (Table 2). In the absence of C-H··· π interactions in the crystal, the pair of characteristic wings resulting in the fingerprint plot delineated into $H \cdots C/C \cdots H$ contacts, with 21.3% contribution to the HS, Fig. 6c; the pair of thin edges at $d_{\rm e} + d_{\rm i} \sim 1.67$ Å result from short interatomic H···C/ $C \cdots H$ contacts (Table 2). In the fingerprint plot delineated into $H \cdots O/O \cdots H$ contacts, Fig. 6d, the 19.0% contribution to





Figure 6

(g) O...C/C...O 0.29

The full two-dimensional fingerprint plots for the title complex, showing (a) all interactions, and delineated into (b) $H \cdots H$, (c) $H \cdots C/C \cdots H$, (d) $H \cdots O/O \cdots H$, (e) $H \cdots N/N \cdots H$, (f) $C \cdots C$ and (g) $O \cdots C/C \cdots O$ interactions. The d_i and d_e values are the closest internal and external distances (in Å) from given points on the Hirshfeld surface contacts.



Figure 7

The Hirshfeld surface representations with the function d_{norm} plotted onto the surface for (a) $\text{H} \cdots \text{H}$, (b) $\text{H} \cdots \text{C/C} \cdots \text{H}$, (c) $\text{H} \cdots \text{O/O} \cdots \text{H}$ and (d) $\text{H} \cdots \text{N/N} \cdots \text{H}$ interactions.

the HS arises from intermolecular $O-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonding and is viewed as a pair of spikes with the tip at $d_e + d_i \sim 1.74$ Å. The short $H\cdots O/O\cdots H$ contacts are masked by strong $O-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonding in this plot. The $H\cdots N/N\cdots H$ contacts in the structure, with a 1.9% contribution to the HS, has a symmetrical distribution of points, Fig. 6e, with the tips at $d_e + d_i \sim 2.96$ Å arising from the short interatomic $H\cdots N/N\cdots H$ contact listed in Table 2. The Hirshfeld surface representations with the function d_{norm} plotted onto the surface are shown for the $H\cdots H$, $H\cdots C/C\cdots H$, $H\cdots O/O\cdots H$ and $H\cdots N/N\cdots H$ interactions in Fig. 7a-d, respectively.

The Hirshfeld surface analysis confirms the importance of H-atom contacts in establishing the packing. The large number of $H \cdots H$, $H \cdots C/C \cdots H$ and $H \cdots O/O \cdots H$ interactions suggest that van der Waals interactions and hydrogen bonding play the major roles in the crystal packing (Hathwar *et al.*, 2015).

5. Synthesis and crystallization

The title compound was prepared by the reaction of $3CdSO_4 \cdot 8H_2O$ (0.64 g, 2.5 mmol) in water (50 ml) and nicotinamide (0.61 g, 5 mmol) in water (25 ml) with sodium 2,4,6trimethylbenzoate (0.93 g, 5 mmol) in water (150 ml) at room temperature. The mixture was filtered and set aside to crystallize at ambient temperature for six weeks, giving colourless single crystals (yield: 1.42 g, 85%). Combustion analysis: found; C, 57.07, H, 5.67, N, 7.92%. Calculated: $C_{32}H_{36}CdN_4O_7$ C, 57.42; H, 5.43, N, 8.34%. FT–IR: 3390, 3122, 2921, 1669, 1619, 1539, 1445, 1399, 1113, 1038, 847, 731, 641 cm⁻¹.

Table 3 Experimental details.	
Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$\begin{array}{c} [Cd(C_{10}H_{11}O_2)_2(C_6H_6N_2O)_2 - \\ (H_2O)] \end{array}$
$M_{\rm r}$	701.05
Crystal system, space group	Orthorhombic, Pbcn
Temperature (K)	296
$a, b, c (\text{\AA})$ $V (\text{\AA}^3)$	23.6876 (5), 15.6711 (4), 9.0682 (2) 3366.21 (13)
Ζ	4
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	0.70
Crystal size (mm)	$0.45 \times 0.28 \times 0.21$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker SMART BREEZE CCD
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2012)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.784, 0.867
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	68263, 4213, 3681
R _{int}	0.028
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.669
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.047, 0.099, 1.32
No. of reflections	4213
No. of parameters	215
H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.32, -0.47

Computer programs: *APEX2* and *SAINT* (Bruker, 2012), *SHELXS97* and *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008), *ORTEP-3 for Windows* and *WinGX* (Farrugia, 2012) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2009).

6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. The H atoms of the NH₂ group and of the water molecule were located in difference-Fourier maps and refined freely. The C-bound H atoms were positioned geometrically with C-H = 0.93 and 0.96 Å for aromatic and methyl H atoms, respectively, and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with $U_{iso}(H) = k \times U_{eq}(C)$, where k = 1.5 for methyl H-atoms and k = 1.2 for aromatic H-atoms.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the Aksaray University, Science and Technology Application and Research Center, Aksaray, Turkey, for the use of the Bruker SMART BREEZE CCD diffractometer (purchased under grant No. 2010K120480 of the State Planning Organization).

References

- Adiwidjaja, G., Rossmanith, E. & Küppers, H. (1978). Acta Cryst. B34, 3079–3083.
- Antolini, L., Battaglia, L. P., Corradi, A. B., Marcotrigiano, G., Menabue, L., Pellacani, G. C. & Saladini, M. (1982). *Inorg. Chem.* 21, 1391–1395.
- Bigoli, F., Braibanti, A., Pellinghelli, M. A. & Tiripicchio, A. (1972). Acta Cryst. B28, 962–966.
- Bruker (2012). APEX2, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc. Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

electronic reprint

- Catterick (neé Drew), J., Hursthouse, M. B., New, D. B. & Thornton, P. (1974). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 843–844.
- Chen, H.-J. & Chen, X.-M. (2002). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 329, 13-21.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). J. Appl. Cryst. 45, 849-854.
- Hathwar, V. R., Sist, M., Jørgensen, M. R. V., Mamakhel, A. H., Wang, X., Hoffmann, C. M., Sugimoto, K., Overgaard, J. & Iversen, B. B. (2015). *IUCrJ*, 2, 563–574.
- Hirshfeld, H. L. (1977). Theor. Chim. Acta, 44, 129-138.
- Hökelek, T., Çaylak, N. & Necefoğlu, H. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, m505-m506.
- Hökelek, T., Dal, H., Tercan, B., Aybirdi, Ö. & Necefoğlu, H. (2009a). Acta Cryst. E65, m1365-m1366.
- Hökelek, T., Dal, H., Tercan, B., Aybirdi, Ö. & Necefoğlu, H. (2009b). Acta Cryst. E65, m1037-m1038.
- Hökelek, T., Dal, H., Tercan, B., Aybirdi, Ö. & Necefoğlu, H. (2009c). Acta Cryst. E65, m627-m628.
- Jayatilaka, D., Grimwood, D. J., Lee, A., Lemay, A., Russel, A. J., Taylor, C., Wolff, S. K., Cassam-Chenai, P. & Whitton, A. (2005). *TONTO. Available at: http://hirshfeldsurface.net/*
- Krishnamachari, K. A. V. R. (1974). Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 27, 108– 111.

- McKinnon, J. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2007). Chem. Commun. pp. 3814–3816.
- Nadzhafov, G. N., Shnulin, A. N. & Mamedov, Kh. S. (1981). Zh. Strukt. Khim. 22, 124–128.
- Necefoğlu, H., Hökelek, T., Ersanlı, C. C. & Erdönmez, A. (2002). *Acta Cryst.* E**58**, m758–m761.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Shnulin, A. N., Nadzhafov, G. N., Amiraslanov, I. R., Usubaliev, B. T. & Mamedov, Kh. S. (1981). *Koord. Khim.* 7, 1409–1416.
- Spackman, M. A. & Jayatilaka, D. (2009). CrystEngComm, 11, 19-32.
- Spackman, M. A., McKinnon, J. J. & Jayatilaka, D. (2008). Cryst. Eng. Comm. 10, 377–388.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Turner, M. J., McKinnon, J. J., Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., Spackman, P. R., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2017). *CrystalExplorer17*. The University of Western Australia.
- Venkatesan, P., Thamotharan, S., Ilangovan, A., Liang, H. & Sundius, T. (2016). *Spectrochim. Acta Part A*, **153**, 625–636.
- Wright, W. B. & King, G. S. D. (1954). Acta Cryst. 7, 283-288.
- You, K.-S., Arnold, L. J. Jr, Allison, W. S. & Kaplan, N. O. (1978). *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **3**, 265–268.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2018). E74, 246-251 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989018001494]

Crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface analysis of aquabis(nicotinamide- κN^1)bis(2,4,6-trimethylbenzoato- $\kappa^2 O, O'$)cadmium(II)

Tuncer Hökelek, Safiye Özkaya and Hacali Necefoğlu

Computing details

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2012); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2012); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2012); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 2012); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 2012) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2009).

Aquabis(nicotinamide- κN^1)bis(2,4,6-trimethylbenzoato- $\kappa^2 O, O'$)cadmium(II)

Crystal data

$[Cd(C_{10}H_{11}O_2)_2(C_6H_6N_2O)_2(H_2O)]$
$M_r = 701.05$
Orthorhombic, Pbcn
Hall symbol: -P 2n 2ab
a = 23.6876 (5) Å
b = 15.6711 (4) Å
c = 9.0682 (2) Å
$V = 3366.21 (13) Å^3$
Z = 4

Data collection

Bruker SMART BREEZE CCD
diffractometer
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
Graphite monochromator
φ and ω scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Bruker, 2012)
$T_{\min} = 0.784, \ T_{\max} = 0.867$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.047$ $wR(F^2) = 0.099$ S = 1.324213 reflections 215 parameters 0 restraints F(000) = 1440 $D_x = 1.383 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 9766 reflections $\theta = 2.6-28.4^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.70 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 296 KBlock, colorless $0.45 \times 0.28 \times 0.21 \text{ mm}$

68263 measured reflections 4213 independent reflections 3681 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.028$ $\theta_{max} = 28.4^\circ$, $\theta_{min} = 1.6^\circ$ $h = -31 \rightarrow 31$ $k = -20 \rightarrow 20$ $l = -11 \rightarrow 12$

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0171P)^2 + 5.5549P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.001$

$$\begin{array}{l} \Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.32 ~{\rm e}~{\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.47 ~{\rm e}~{\rm \AA}^{-3} \end{array}$$

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R-factors R are based on F, with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A
--

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Cd1	0.5000	0.746100 (17)	0.2500	0.03358 (9)	
01	0.43935 (10)	0.64030 (14)	0.1751 (3)	0.0472 (6)	
O2	0.42005 (10)	0.76163 (14)	0.0676 (3)	0.0468 (6)	
O3	0.42068 (10)	0.98712 (14)	0.6880 (3)	0.0471 (5)	
O4	0.5000	0.8932 (2)	0.2500	0.0481 (8)	
H41	0.5257 (14)	0.924 (2)	0.272 (4)	0.041 (10)*	
N1	0.44028 (11)	0.75971 (16)	0.4585 (3)	0.0392 (6)	
N2	0.41074 (13)	0.91347 (19)	0.9004 (3)	0.0434 (7)	
H2A	0.4139 (15)	0.960 (2)	0.957 (4)	0.053 (11)*	
H2B	0.4105 (15)	0.867 (2)	0.939 (4)	0.050 (11)*	
C1	0.41017 (13)	0.68404 (18)	0.0888 (3)	0.0344 (6)	
C2	0.36190 (13)	0.64076 (19)	0.0124 (3)	0.0387 (7)	
C3	0.30699 (16)	0.6685 (3)	0.0358 (5)	0.0587 (10)	
C4	0.26341 (18)	0.6243 (4)	-0.0346 (6)	0.0812 (15)	
H4	0.2264	0.6419	-0.0194	0.097*	
C5	0.2733 (2)	0.5561 (4)	-0.1254 (6)	0.0801 (15)	
C6	0.32799 (19)	0.5306 (3)	-0.1478 (5)	0.0662 (12)	
H6	0.3351	0.4847	-0.2100	0.079*	
C7	0.37317 (16)	0.5717 (2)	-0.0798 (4)	0.0475 (8)	
C8	0.43251 (18)	0.5435 (3)	-0.1127 (5)	0.0715 (13)	
H8A	0.4488	0.5186	-0.0259	0.107*	
H8B	0.4546	0.5919	-0.1425	0.107*	
H8C	0.4320	0.5021	-0.1907	0.107*	
C9	0.2941 (2)	0.7424 (4)	0.1375 (7)	0.099 (2)	
H9A	0.3084	0.7301	0.2343	0.149*	
H9B	0.2540	0.7509	0.1424	0.149*	
H9C	0.3118	0.7932	0.1004	0.149*	
C10	0.2234 (3)	0.5105 (5)	-0.1995 (8)	0.143 (3)	
H10A	0.2204	0.4535	-0.1614	0.214*	
H10B	0.2295	0.5083	-0.3041	0.214*	
H10C	0.1892	0.5411	-0.1792	0.214*	
C11	0.44160 (12)	0.82871 (18)	0.5440 (3)	0.0361 (6)	

supporting information

H11	0.4655	0.8732	0.5176	0.043*	
C12	0.40932 (12)	0.83775 (17)	0.6698 (3)	0.0314 (6)	
C13	0.37296 (15)	0.7725 (2)	0.7083 (4)	0.0445 (8)	
H13	0.3509	0.7761	0.7929	0.053*	
C14	0.37015 (16)	0.7016 (2)	0.6178 (4)	0.0529 (9)	
H14	0.3455	0.6572	0.6398	0.064*	
C15	0.40397 (16)	0.6973 (2)	0.4953 (4)	0.0470 (8)	
H15	0.4017	0.6493	0.4353	0.056*	
C16	0.41406 (12)	0.91928 (18)	0.7553 (3)	0.0357 (6)	

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	<i>U</i> ²³
Cd1	0.03990 (16)	0.02586 (14)	0.03497 (16)	0.000	-0.00070 (14)	0.000
O1	0.0606 (14)	0.0357 (11)	0.0452 (13)	-0.0048 (10)	-0.0166 (11)	0.0054 (10)
O2	0.0552 (14)	0.0349 (11)	0.0504 (14)	-0.0075 (10)	-0.0097 (12)	0.0037 (10)
O3	0.0675 (15)	0.0323 (11)	0.0413 (12)	-0.0071 (10)	-0.0008 (12)	0.0041 (10)
04	0.0467 (19)	0.0269 (14)	0.071 (2)	0.000	-0.0015 (19)	0.000
N1	0.0449 (14)	0.0373 (13)	0.0356 (14)	-0.0081 (11)	0.0017 (11)	-0.0062 (11)
N2	0.0645 (19)	0.0310 (13)	0.0346 (14)	0.0058 (13)	-0.0028 (13)	-0.0019 (12)
C1	0.0408 (15)	0.0326 (14)	0.0299 (14)	-0.0032 (12)	0.0014 (12)	-0.0019 (12)
C2	0.0418 (16)	0.0375 (15)	0.0369 (16)	-0.0074 (13)	-0.0042 (13)	0.0058 (13)
C3	0.0457 (19)	0.073 (3)	0.057 (2)	-0.0045 (18)	0.0016 (17)	-0.003(2)
C4	0.042 (2)	0.121 (4)	0.081 (3)	-0.018 (2)	-0.003 (2)	0.005 (3)
C5	0.072 (3)	0.101 (4)	0.067 (3)	-0.043 (3)	-0.016 (2)	0.001 (3)
C6	0.085 (3)	0.056 (2)	0.058 (2)	-0.030 (2)	-0.011 (2)	-0.0060 (19)
C7	0.061 (2)	0.0349 (16)	0.0465 (19)	-0.0115 (15)	-0.0078 (16)	0.0007 (14)
C8	0.074 (3)	0.057 (2)	0.083 (3)	0.010(2)	-0.005 (2)	-0.032 (2)
C9	0.055 (3)	0.130 (5)	0.113 (5)	0.017 (3)	0.009 (3)	-0.042 (4)
C10	0.103 (4)	0.189 (7)	0.137 (6)	-0.085 (5)	-0.034 (4)	-0.022 (5)
C11	0.0391 (15)	0.0323 (14)	0.0368 (15)	-0.0100 (12)	0.0041 (13)	0.0004 (12)
C12	0.0359 (14)	0.0310 (13)	0.0274 (13)	-0.0027 (11)	-0.0015 (11)	0.0032 (11)
C13	0.0507 (19)	0.0490 (18)	0.0340 (16)	-0.0122 (15)	0.0065 (14)	0.0034 (14)
C14	0.068 (2)	0.0449 (18)	0.0457 (19)	-0.0277 (17)	0.0084 (17)	0.0038 (15)
C15	0.067 (2)	0.0339 (15)	0.0403 (17)	-0.0140 (15)	0.0006 (16)	-0.0033 (14)
C16	0.0361 (14)	0.0356 (14)	0.0353 (14)	0.0009 (11)	-0.0012(13)	0.0013 (13)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cd1-01	2.297 (2)	C4—H4	0.9300
Cd1-O1 ⁱ	2.297 (2)	C5-C10	1.536 (6)
Cd1—O2	2.527 (2)	C6—C5	1.371 (7)
Cd1—O2 ⁱ	2.527 (2)	С6—Н6	0.9300
Cd1—O4	2.306 (3)	C7—C6	1.392 (5)
Cd1—N1	2.371 (3)	C7—C8	1.503 (5)
Cd1—N1 ⁱ	2.371 (3)	C8—H8A	0.9600
Cd1—C1	2.759 (3)	C8—H8B	0.9600
Cd1—C1 ⁱ	2.759 (3)	C8—H8C	0.9600

Acta Cryst. (2018). E74, 246-251

supporting information

01—C1	1.249 (4)	C11—H11	0.9300
O2—C1	1.253 (3)	C12—C11	1.380 (4)
O3—C16	1.236 (4)	C12—C13	1.382 (4)
O4—H41	0.80 (3)	C12—C16	1.499 (4)
N1—C11	1.331 (4)	C13—C14	1.382 (5)
N1—C15	1.344 (4)	С13—Н13	0.9300
N2—C16	1.321 (4)	C14—H14	0.9300
N2—H2A	0.90 (4)	C15—C14	1.371 (5)
N2—H2B	0.81 (4)	С15—Н15	0.9300
C1—C2	1,499 (4)	С9—Н9А	0.9600
C2—C3	1.388 (5)	С9—Н9В	0.9600
C2—C7	1.394 (5)	C9—H9C	0.9600
$C_3 - C_4$	1 397 (6)	C10—H10A	0.9600
$C_3 - C_9$	1 512 (6)	C10—H10B	0.9600
C4-C5	1.312(0) 1.370(7)	C10 $H10D$	0.9600
CT-C3	1.570(7)		0.9000
	2 55	N2…H13	2 75
$02H2B^{iii}$	2.03 (3)	C6H14 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.75
$O_2 \cdots H_4 1^{iv}$	2.03(3) 1.92(3)	$C16\cdots H41^{iv}$	2.00 2.85(3)
03H2AV	1.92(3)		2.65 (5)
05 ^m HZA	2.20 (4)	IIOA IIOA	2.34
$01-Cd1-01^{i}$	87 57 (11)	C7—C2—C1	1189(3)
01 - Cd1 - 02	53 63 (7)	$C_{2}^{-} C_{3}^{-} C_{4}^{-}$	117.9(3)
$O1^{i}$ $Cd1$ $O2$	137.06(8)	$C_2 - C_3 - C_4$	117.9(4) 1215(4)
$O1 Cd1 O2^{i}$	137.06 (8)	$C_2 = C_3 = C_3$	121.5(4) 120.6(4)
O1 = Cd1 = O2	137.00 (8) 53.63 (7)	C_{4}	118.8
01 - Cd1 - 02	136 22 (6)	$C_5 = C_4 = C_3$	122.3(4)
$O_1 = Cd_1 = O_4$	136.22 (0)	C_{3}	122.3 (4)
O1 - Cd1 - N1	130.22 (0)	C_{3} C_{4} C_{5} C_{6}	110.0 119.6(4)
Oli Cal NI	101.67(0)	$C_{4} = C_{5} = C_{10}$	110.0(4)
O1 - Cd1 - N1	101.07(9) 101.67(0)	C4 - C3 - C10	119.7(3) 121.8(5)
Oli Cal Nui	101.07(9)	$C_{0} = C_{10}$	121.0(3) 121.8(4)
	85.85 (9)	C_{5}	121.8 (4)
	20.00(8)	С3—С6—Н6	119.1
	112.62 (9)	C/-Cb-Hb	119.1
	112.62(9)	$C_2 = C_1 = C_8$	121.7(3)
	26.66 (8)		118.5 (4)
$O2-Cd1-O2^{1}$	168.94 (10)	C6—C/—C8	119.7 (4)
O2—Cd1—C1	26.97 (7)	C/C8H8A	109.5
O2 ¹ —Cd1—C1	163.57 (8)	С7—С8—Н8В	109.5
$O2-Cd1-C1^{1}$	163.57 (8)	С7—С8—Н8С	109.5
$O2^{i}$ —Cd1—C1 ⁱ	26.97 (7)	H8A—C8—H8B	109.5
O4—Cd1—O2	84.47 (5)	H8A—C8—H8C	109.5
$O4$ — $Cd1$ — $O2^{i}$	84.47 (5)	H8B—C8—H8C	109.5
O4—Cd1—N1	84.84 (6)	С3—С9—Н9А	109.5
O4—Cd1—N1 ⁱ	84.84 (6)	С3—С9—Н9В	109.5
O4—Cd1—C1	110.64 (6)	С3—С9—Н9С	109.5
$O4$ — $Cd1$ — $C1^i$	110.64 (6)	Н9А—С9—Н9В	109.5
N1—Cd1—O2	93.81 (9)	Н9А—С9—Н9С	109.5

$N1^{i}$ —Cd1—O2	85.20 (9)	H9B—C9—H9C	109.5
$N1-Cd1-O2^{i}$	85 20 (9)	C5-C10-H10A	109.5
$N1^{i}$ Cd1 $O2^{i}$	93 81 (9)	C5-C10-H10B	109.5
$N1-Cd1-N1^{i}$	169 68 (12)	C5-C10-H10C	109.5
N1-Cd1-C1	89.67 (9)	H10A - C10 - H10B	109.5
N1 ⁱ Cd1 C1	03.07(0)	H_{10A} C_{10} H_{10C}	109.5
N1 - Cd1 - C1	93.97(9)	H10R C10 H10C	109.5
$N1^{i}$ $Cd1$ $C1^{i}$	93.97 (9) 80.67 (0)	N1 C11 C12	109.5 123.5(3)
$R_{1} = C_{1} = C_{1}$	(3).07(3)	NI_C11_H11	123.3(3)
C1 = C1 = C1	136.71(12) 07.79(18)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	110.5
	97.70 (10)		110.5
$C_1 = 0_2 = C_0 I_1$	30.90(18)	C11 - C12 - C13	118.0(3)
Cal = 04 = H41	127(2)	C12 - C10	118.3(3)
CII—NI—Cdi	121.6 (2)	C13 - C12 - C16	123.1 (3)
CII—NI—CIS	117.5 (3)	C12—C13—C14	118.3 (3)
CI5—NI—Cdl	120.9 (2)	С12—С13—Н13	120.8
C16—N2—H2A	121 (2)	C14—C13—H13	120.8
C16—N2—H2B	120 (3)	C13—C14—H14	120.3
H2A—N2—H2B	119 (4)	C15—C14—C13	119.5 (3)
O1—C1—Cd1	55.57 (15)	C15—C14—H14	120.3
O1—C1—O2	121.7 (3)	N1—C15—C14	122.6 (3)
O1—C1—C2	117.6 (3)	N1—C15—H15	118.7
O2—C1—Cd1	66.13 (17)	C14—C15—H15	118.7
O2—C1—C2	120.7 (3)	O3—C16—N2	124.0 (3)
C2-C1-Cd1	173.1 (2)	O3—C16—C12	119.1 (3)
C3—C2—C1	120.2 (3)	N2-C16-C12	116.9 (3)
C3—C2—C7	121.0 (3)		
O1 ⁱ Cd1C1	-160.5 (2)	N1 ⁱ —Cd1—C1—O2	-71.26 (19)
O2-Cd1-O1-C1	-0.25 (18)	C1 ⁱ Cd1C1O1	14.27 (18)
O2 ⁱ —Cd1—O1—C1	175.96 (17)	C1 ⁱ —Cd1—C1—O2	-165.28 (19)
O4—Cd1—O1—C1	19.5 (2)	Cd1—O1—C1—O2	0.5 (3)
N1—Cd1—O1—C1	97.6 (2)	Cd1—O1—C1—C2	-178.5 (2)
N1 ⁱ —Cd1—O1—C1	-75.3 (2)	Cd1—O2—C1—O1	-0.4 (3)
C1 ⁱ —Cd1—O1—C1	-169.85 (13)	Cd1—O2—C1—C2	178.6 (3)
01-Cd1-02-C1	0.25 (17)	Cd1—N1—C11—C12	-177.2(2)
$O1^{i}$ —Cd1—O2—C1	29.9 (2)	C15 - N1 - C11 - C12	2.4 (5)
$O2^{i}$ Cd1 $O2$ Cl	-16618(18)	Cd1 - N1 - C15 - C14	177.8(3)
04 - Cd1 - 02 - C1	-16618(18)	C11 - N1 - C15 - C14	-1.8(5)
N1 - Cd1 - O2 - C1	-81.75(19)	01-C1-C2-C3	1182(4)
$N1^{i}$ $Cd1$ $O2$ $C1$	108.55(19)	01 - C1 - C2 - C7	-60.7(4)
$C1^{i}$ $Cd1$ $O2$ $C1$	36 3 (5)	0^{2} 0^{2} 0^{1} 0^{2} 0^{3}	-60.9(4)
C1 - Cd1 - 02 - C1	-162.7(3)	02 - C1 - C2 - C3	120.2(3)
$O_1 = C_1 = N_1 = C_1 $	102.7(3)	$C_{1} = C_{2} = C_{1}$	-178.2(3)
$O_1 = C_1 = N_1 = C_{11}$	110.0(2)	$C_1 = C_2 = C_3 = C_4$	-1/8.2(4)
OI - OI - OI	1/./(3)	$C_1 - C_2 - C_3 - C_4$	0.1(0)
UI - UI - INI - UIS	-08.9(3)	-12 - 13 - 14	0.7 (6)
U2—Cd1—N1—C11	-109.6(2)	$C_{1} - C_{2} - C_{3} - C_{9}$	1/9.0 (4)
U2-Cd1-N1-C11	59.3 (2)	C_{3} C_{2} C_{1} C_{6}	-0.3 (5)
O2—Cd1—N1—C15	70.8 (3)	C1—C2—C7—C6	178.6 (3)

O2 ⁱ —Cd1—N1—C15	-120.2 (3)	C3—C2—C7—C8	176.8 (4)
O4—Cd1—N1—C11	-25.6 (2)	C1—C2—C7—C8	-4.3 (5)
O4—Cd1—N1—C15	154.9 (3)	C2—C3—C4—C5	-0.5 (7)
N1 ⁱ —Cd1—N1—C11	-25.6 (2)	C9—C3—C4—C5	-178.8 (5)
N1 ⁱ —Cd1—N1—C15	154.9 (3)	C3—C4—C5—C6	-0.2 (8)
C1-Cd1-N1-C11	-136.3 (2)	C3-C4-C5-C10	-180.0 (5)
C1 ⁱ Cd1N1C11	84.8 (3)	C7—C6—C5—C4	0.6 (7)
C1-Cd1-N1-C15	44.1 (3)	C7—C6—C5—C10	-179.6 (5)
C1 ⁱ Cd1N1C15	-94.7 (3)	C2—C7—C6—C5	-0.4 (6)
O1 ⁱ Cd1O1	21.1 (3)	C8—C7—C6—C5	-177.6 (4)
O1-Cd1-C1-O2	-179.6 (3)	C13—C12—C11—N1	-1.1 (5)
O1 ⁱ Cd1O2	-158.40 (18)	C16—C12—C11—N1	-179.1 (3)
O2-Cd1-C1-O1	179.6 (3)	C11—C12—C13—C14	-0.8 (5)
O2 ⁱ —Cd1—C1—O1	-9.8 (4)	C16—C12—C13—C14	177.1 (3)
O2 ⁱ —Cd1—C1—O2	170.68 (14)	C11—C12—C16—O3	36.2 (4)
O4—Cd1—C1—O1	-165.73 (18)	C11—C12—C16—N2	-143.9 (3)
O4—Cd1—C1—O2	14.72 (19)	C13—C12—C16—O3	-141.7 (3)
N1—Cd1—C1—O1	-81.4 (2)	C13—C12—C16—N2	38.2 (4)
N1 ⁱ —Cd1—C1—O1	108.3 (2)	C12—C13—C14—C15	1.3 (6)
N1—Cd1—C1—O2	99.07 (19)	N1-C15-C14-C13	0.0 (6)

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+1, *y*, -*z*+1/2; (ii) *x*, -*y*+1, *z*+1/2; (iii) *x*, *y*, *z*-1; (iv) -*x*+1, -*y*+2, -*z*+1; (v) *x*, -*y*+2, *z*-1/2; (vi) -*x*+1, -*y*+1, -*z*.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	Н…А	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
N2—H2A····O3 ^{vii}	0.89 (3)	2.26 (4)	3.047 (4)	147 (3)
N2—H2 B ···O2 ^{viii}	0.81 (3)	2.03 (3)	2.830 (4)	168 (4)
O4—H41…O3 ^{iv}	0.80 (3)	1.92 (3)	2.714 (3)	170 (3)
C8—H8C····O1 ^{ix}	0.96	2.55	3.468 (5)	161

Symmetry codes: (iv) -x+1, -y+2, -z+1; (vii) x, -y+2, z+1/2; (viii) x, y, z+1; (ix) x, -y+1, z-1/2.