



Crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface analysis of aquabis-(nicotinamide- κ N)bis(4-sulfamoylbenzoato- κ O¹)copper(II)

Tuncer Hökelek, Vijdan Yavuz, Hakan Dal and Hacali Necefoğlu

Acta Cryst. (2018). E74, 45–50



IUCr Journals

CRYSTALLOGRAPHY JOURNALS ONLINE

This open-access article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/uk/legalcode>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original authors and source are cited.





Crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface analysis of aquabis(nicotinamide- κN)bis(4-sulfamoylbenzoato- κO^1)copper(II)

Tuncer Hökelek,^{a*} Vijdan Yavuz,^b Hakan Dal^c and Hacali Necefoğlu^{b,d}

^aDepartment of Physics, Hacettepe University, 06800 Beytepe, Ankara, Turkey, ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Kafkas University, 36100 Kars, Turkey, ^cDepartment of Chemistry, Anadolu University, 26470 Yenibağlar, Eskişehir, Turkey, and ^dInternational Scientific Research Centre, Baku State University, 1148 Baku, Azerbaijan. *Correspondence e-mail: merzifon@hacettepe.edu.tr

Received 12 December 2017

Accepted 12 December 2017

Edited by D.-J. Xu, Zhejiang University (Yuquan Campus), China

Keywords: crystal structure; copper(II); transition metal complexes of benzoic acid and nicotinamide derivatives.

CCDC reference: 1810805


Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e

In the crystal of the title complex, $[\text{Cu}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_6\text{NO}_4\text{S})_2(\text{C}_6\text{H}_6\text{N}_2\text{O})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})]$, the Cu^{II} cation and the O atom of the coordinated water molecule reside on a twofold rotation axis. The Cu^{II} ion is coordinated by two carboxylate O atoms of the two symmetry-related 4-sulfamoylbenzoate (SB) anions and by two N atoms of the two symmetry-related nicotinamide (NA) molecules at distances of 1.978 (2) and 2.025 (3) Å, respectively, forming a slightly distorted square-planar arrangement. The distorted square-pyramidal coordination environment is completed by the water O atom in the axial position at a distance of 2.147 (4) Å. In the crystal, the molecules are linked *via* O—H \cdots O and N—H \cdots O hydrogen bonds with $R_2^2(8)$ and $R_2^2(18)$ ring motifs, forming a three-dimensional architecture. The Hirshfeld surface analysis of the crystal structure indicates that the most important contributions for the crystal packing are from H \cdots O/O \cdots H (42.2%), H \cdots H (25.7%) and H \cdots C/C \cdots H (20.0%) interactions.


1. Chemical context

Nicotinamide (NA) is one form of niacin. A deficiency of this vitamin leads to loss of copper from the body, known as pellagra disease. Victims of pellagra show unusually high serum and urinary copper levels (Krishnamachari, 1974). The NA ring is the reactive part of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD) and its phosphate (NADP), which are the major electron carriers in many biological oxidation-reduction reactions (You *et al.*, 1978). The nicotinic acid derivative *N,N*-diethylnicotinamide (DENA) is an important respiratory stimulant (Bigoli *et al.*, 1972).

Transition metal complexes with ligands of biochemical interest such as imidazole and some N-protected amino acids show interesting physical and/or chemical properties, through which they may find applications in biological systems (Antolini *et al.*, 1982). The crystal structures of metal complexes with benzoic acid derivatives have been reported extensively because of the varieties of the coordination modes, for example, Co and Cd complexes with 4-aminobenzoic acid (Chen & Chen, 2002). The structures of some mononuclear complexes obtained from the reactions of transition metal(II) ions with nicotinamide (NA) and some benzoic acid derivatives as ligands have been determined previously, *e.g.* $[\text{Zn}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_5\text{O}_3)_2(\text{C}_6\text{H}_6\text{N}_2\text{O})_2]$ [(II); Necefoğlu *et al.*, 2002], $[\text{Mn}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_4\text{ClO}_2)_2(\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{14}\text{N}_2\text{O})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]$ [(III); Hökelek *et al.*, 2008] and $[\text{Zn}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_4\text{BrO}_2)_2(\text{C}_6\text{H}_6\text{N}_2\text{O})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]$ [(IV); Hökelek *et al.*, 2009].



OPEN ACCESS



The structure determination of the title compound, (I), a copper complex with two 4-sulfamoylbenzoate (SB) anions and two nicotinamide (NA) ligands and one coordinated water molecule, was undertaken in order to compare the results obtained with those reported previously. In this context, we synthesized the Cu^{II}-containing title compound, aquabis(nicotinamide- κN)bis(4-sulfamoylbenzoato- $\kappa O'$)-copper(II), [Cu(C₇H₆NO₄S)₂(C₆H₆N₂O)₂(H₂O)], and report herein its crystal and molecular structures along with the Hirshfeld surface analysis.

2. Structural commentary

The asymmetric unit of the crystal structure of the mononuclear title complex, (I), contains one half of the Cu^{II} ion, one 4-sulfamoylbenzoate (SB) anion and one nicotinamide




Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title complex with the atom-numbering scheme. Unlabelled atoms are related to labelled ones by the symmetry operation $1 - x, y, \frac{3}{2} - z$. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H	H··· <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> ··· <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
N2—H21···O5 ⁱ	0.78 (5)	2.23 (5)	3.009 (7)	176 (5)
N3—H31···O1 ⁱⁱ	0.88 (7)	2.33 (7)	3.165 (6)	159 (6)
N3—H32···O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.93 (6)	2.54 (6)	3.452 (6)	165 (6)
O6—H61···O1 ^{iv}	0.83 (5)	1.79 (5)	2.603 (4)	167 (4)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + 1, -y + 2, -z + 1$; (ii) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$; (iii) $x, y - 1, z$; (iv) $x, y + 1, z$.

(NA) molecule together with one half water molecule, all ligands coordinating in a monodentate manner (Fig. 1).

The Cu^{II} ion, located on a twofold rotation axis, is penta-coordinated *via* two nitrogen atoms of NA and two oxygen atoms of SB anions and one oxygen atom of the water molecule. The two carboxylate O atoms [O2 and O2ⁱ; symmetry code: (i) $1 - x, y, \frac{3}{2} - z$] of two symmetry-related monodentate SB anions and the two pyridine N atoms (N1 and N1ⁱ) of two symmetry-related monodentate NA ligands are at distances of 1.978 (2) and 2.025 (3) Å, respectively, from the Cu1 atom and form a slightly distorted square-planar arrangement. The sum of the bond angles N1—Cu1—O2ⁱ [87.79 (10)°], N1ⁱ—Cu1—O2ⁱ [92.08 (10)°], O2—Cu1—N1 [92.08 (10)°] and O2—Cu1—N1ⁱ [87.79 (10)°] in the basal plane around Cu^{II} ion is 359.74°. This confirms the presence of Cu^{II} ion with very slight deviation from the basal plane. The slightly distorted square-pyramidal coordination environment is completed by the water O atom (O6) at a distance of 2.147 (4) Å in the axial position.

The near equalities of the C1—O1 [1.237 (4) Å] and C1—O2 [1.273 (4) Å] bonds in the carboxylate groups indicate delocalized bonding arrangements, rather than localized single and double bonds. The O2—C1—O1 bond angle [125.2 (3)°] seems to be increased compared to that present in a free acid [122.2°]. The corresponding values for this angle in the closely related structures mentioned above are 123.5 (2) and 120.4 (2)° in (II), 125.2 (5)° in (III), and 124.3 (2)° in (IV); the




Figure 2

Part of the crystal structure, viewed down the *b* axis. O—H_W···O_C, N—H_{NA}···O_{NA}, N—H_{SB}···O_C and N—H_{SB}···O_{SB} (W = water, C = carboxylate, NA = nicotinamide and SB = 4-sulfamoylbenzoate) hydrogen bonds, enclosing R₂²(8) and R₂²(18) ring motifs, are shown as dashed lines. H atoms not involved in these interactions have been omitted for clarity.




Figure 3
View of the three-dimensional Hirshfeld surface of the title complex plotted over d_{norm} in the range -0.7548 to 1.5398 a.u.

benzoate ions are coordinated to the metal atoms only monodentately in (III) and (IV), and both monodentately and bidentately in (II). In the SB anion, the carboxylate group is twisted away from the attached C2–C7 benzene ring by 20.92 (17°), while the benzene and N1/C8–C12 pyridine rings are oriented at a dihedral angle of 81.86 (12°).

3. Supramolecular features

In the crystal, $\text{O}-\text{H}_W \cdots \text{O}_C$, $\text{N}-\text{H}_{\text{NA}} \cdots \text{O}_{\text{NA}}$, $\text{N}-\text{H}_{\text{SB}} \cdots \text{O}_C$ and $\text{N}-\text{H}_{\text{SB}} \cdots \text{O}_{\text{SB}}$ (W = water, C = carboxylate, NA = nicotinamide and SB = 4-sulfamoylbenzoate) hydrogen bonds (Table 1) link the molecules, enclosing $R_2^2(8)$ and $R_2^2(18)$ ring motifs (Fig. 2) into a three-dimensional architecture.




Figure 4
View of the three-dimensional Hirshfeld surface of the title complex plotted over electrostatic potential energy in the range -0.1045 to 0.2914 a.u. using the STO-3G basis set at the Hartree–Fock level of theory. $\text{N}-\text{H} \cdots \text{O}$ and $\text{O}-\text{H} \cdots \text{O}$ hydrogen-bond donors and acceptors are shown as blue and red regions around the atoms corresponding to positive and negative potentials, respectively.

Hydrogen bonding and van der Waals contacts are the dominant interactions in the crystal packing. No significant $\pi-\pi$, $\text{C}-\text{H} \cdots \pi$ or $\text{C}-\text{H} \cdots \text{O}$ interactions are observed.

4. Hirshfeld surface analysis

In order to visualize the intermolecular interactions in the crystal of the title complex, a Hirshfeld surface (HS) analysis (Hirshfeld, 1977; Spackman & Jayatilaka, 2009) was carried out by using *Crystal Explorer 17.5* (Turner *et al.*, 2017). In the HS plotted over d_{norm} (Fig. 3), the white surfaces indicate





Figure 5
The full two-dimensional fingerprint plots for the title complex, showing (a) all interactions, and delineated into (b) $\text{H} \cdots \text{O}/\text{O} \cdots \text{H}$, (c) $\text{H} \cdots \text{H}$, (d) $\text{H} \cdots \text{C}/\text{C} \cdots \text{H}$, (e) $\text{H} \cdots \text{N}/\text{N} \cdots \text{H}$, (f) $\text{O} \cdots \text{C}/\text{C} \cdots \text{O}$, (g) $\text{O} \cdots \text{O}$ and (h) $\text{N} \cdots \text{C}/\text{C} \cdots \text{N}$ interactions. The d_i and d_e values are the closest internal and external distances (in Å) from given points on the Hirshfeld surface to contacts.


Figure 6

Representations of the Hirshfeld surface with the function d_{norm} plotted onto the surface for (a) $\text{H}\cdots\text{O}/\text{O}\cdots\text{H}$, (b) $\text{H}\cdots\text{H}$, (c) $\text{H}\cdots\text{C}/\text{C}\cdots\text{H}$, (d) $\text{H}\cdots\text{N}/\text{N}\cdots\text{H}$ and (e) $\text{O}\cdots\text{C}/\text{C}\cdots\text{O}$ interactions.

contacts with distances equal to the sum of van der Waals radii, and the red and blue colours indicate distances shorter (in close contact) or longer (distinct contact) than the van der Waals radii, respectively (Venkatesan *et al.*, 2016). The bright-red spots appearing near SB-O1, SB-O4, NA-O5 and hydrogen atoms H21, H31, H32 and H61 indicate their role as the respective donors and acceptors in the dominant $\text{O}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ and $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ hydrogen bonds; they also appear as blue and red regions, respectively, corresponding to positive and negative potentials on the HS mapped over electrostatic potential (Spackman *et al.*, 2008; Jayatilaka *et al.*, 2005) as shown in Fig. 4. The blue regions indicate the positive electrostatic potential (hydrogen-bond donors), while the red

regions indicate the negative electrostatic potential (hydrogen-bond acceptors). The overall two-dimensional fingerprint plot and those delineated into $\text{H}\cdots\text{O}/\text{O}\cdots\text{H}$, $\text{H}\cdots\text{H}$, $\text{H}\cdots\text{C}/\text{C}\cdots\text{H}$, $\text{H}\cdots\text{N}/\text{N}\cdots\text{H}$, $\text{O}\cdots\text{C}/\text{C}\cdots\text{O}$, $\text{O}\cdots\text{O}$ and $\text{N}\cdots\text{C}/\text{C}\cdots\text{N}$ contacts (McKinnon *et al.*, 2007) are illustrated in Fig. 5 *a-h*, respectively, together with their relative contributions to the Hirshfeld surface. The most important interaction is $\text{H}\cdots\text{O}/\text{O}\cdots\text{H}$ contributing 42.2% to the overall crystal packing, which is reflected in Fig. 5*b* as a pair of spikes with the tip at $d_e + d_i \sim 1.63$ Å. The short $\text{H}\cdots\text{O}/\text{O}\cdots\text{H}$ contacts are masked by strong $\text{O}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ hydrogen bonding in this plot. In the fingerprint plot delineated into $\text{H}\cdots\text{H}$ contacts (Fig. 5*c*), the 25.7% contribution to the overall crystal

packing is reflected as widely scattered points of high density due to the large hydrogen content of the molecule. In the absence of C—H $\cdots\pi$ interactions in the crystal, the pair of characteristic wings resulting in the fingerprint plot delineated into H \cdots C/C \cdots H contacts with a 20.0% contribution to the HS, Fig. 5d, and the pair of edges at $d_e + d_i \sim 2.58$ Å result from short interatomic H \cdots C/C \cdots H contacts. The H \cdots N/N \cdots H (Fig. 5e) and O \cdots C/C \cdots O (Fig. 5f) contacts in the structure with 3.1% and 2.9% contributions to the HS have symmetrical distributions of points with the tips at $d_e + d_i \sim 2.8$ Å and $d_e + d_i \sim 2.4$ Å, arising from short interatomic H \cdots N/N \cdots H and O \cdots C/C \cdots O contacts, respectively. The O \cdots O contacts assigned to short interatomic O \cdots O contacts with a 1.6% contribution to the HS appear as an arrow-shaped distribution of points in Fig. 5g, with the vertex at $d_e = d_i \sim 3.5$ Å. Finally, the N \cdots C/C \cdots N contacts in the structure with a 1.6% contribution to the HS has a nearly symmetrical distribution of points, Fig. 5h, with the scattered points of low density. The Hirshfeld surface representations with the function d_{norm} plotted onto the surface are shown for the H \cdots O/O \cdots H, H \cdots H, H \cdots C/C \cdots H, H \cdots N/N \cdots H and O \cdots C/C \cdots O interactions in Fig. 6a–e, respectively.

The Hirshfeld surface analysis confirms the importance of H-atom contacts in establishing the packing. The large number of H \cdots O/O \cdots H, H \cdots H and H \cdots C/C \cdots H interactions suggest that van der Waals interactions and hydrogen bonding play the major roles in the crystal packing (Hathwar *et al.*, 2015).

5. Synthesis and crystallization

The title compound was prepared by the reaction of CuSO $_4$ ·5H $_2$ O (1.25 g, 5 mmol) in H $_2$ O (100 ml) and nicotinamide (1.22 g, 10 mmol) in water (25 ml) with sodium 4-sulfamoylbenzoate (2.23 g, 10 mmol) in water (150 ml) at room temperature. The mixture was filtered and set aside for several days at ambient temperature to crystallize, giving blue single crystals (yield: 2.11 g, 29%). Combustion analysis: found; C, 42.85; H, 3.70; N, 11.68; S, 8.70%. Calculated: C $_{26}$ H $_{26}$ CuN $_6$ O $_{11}$ S $_2$ C, 42.96; H, 3.58; N, 11.57; S, 8.81%. FT-IR: 3363, 3163, 1692, 1677, 1602, 1519, 1432, 1380, 1340, 1301, 1162, 1138, 1093, 1058, 778, 730, 688, 615, 532, 479, 427 cm $^{-1}$.

6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. H atoms of the water molecule and the NH $_2$ group of the nicotinamide (NA) molecule were located in a difference-Fourier map and refined freely. H atoms of the NH $_2$ group of the 4-sulfamoylbenzoate (SB) group were also located in a difference-Fourier map and the positions were refined with $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{N})$. The aromatic C-bound H atoms were positioned geometrically with C—H = 0.93 Å, and refined as riding with $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$.

Table 2
Experimental details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	[Cu(C $_7$ H $_6$ NO $_4$ S) $_2$ (C $_6$ H $_6$ N $_2$ O) $_2$ ·(H $_2$ O)]
M_r	726.20
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, C2/c
Temperature (K)	296
a, b, c (Å)	24.2353 (4), 5.6080 (2), 24.9702 (4)
β (°)	118.027 (11)
V (Å 3)	2995.7 (3)
Z	4
Radiation type	Mo K α
μ (mm $^{-1}$)	0.94
Crystal size (mm)	0.22 × 0.17 × 0.15
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker APEXII CCD
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2012)
$T_{\text{min}}, T_{\text{max}}$	0.812, 0.853
No. of measured, independent and observed [$I > 2\sigma(I)$] reflections	26534, 3069, 2535
R_{int}	0.049
$(\sin \theta/\lambda)_{\text{max}}$ (Å $^{-1}$)	0.627
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.048, 0.109, 1.10
No. of reflections	3069
No. of parameters	227
H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}}, \Delta\rho_{\text{min}}$ (e Å $^{-3}$)	0.50, −0.58

Computer programs: APEX2 and SAINT (Bruker, 2012), SHELXS97 and SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008), ORTEP-3 for Windows and WinGX (Farrugia, 2012) and PLATON (Spek, 2015).

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the Scientific and Technological Research Application and Research Center, Sinop University, Turkey, for the use of the Bruker D8 QUEST diffractometer.

References

- Antolini, L., Battaglia, L. P., Corradi, A. B., Marcotrigiano, G., Menabue, L., Pellacani, G. C. & Saladini, M. (1982). *Inorg. Chem.* **21**, 1391–1395.
- Bigoli, F., Braibanti, A., Pellinghelli, M. A. & Tiripicchio, A. (1972). *Acta Cryst.* **B28**, 962–966.
- Bruker (2012). APEX2, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc. Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Chen, H.-J. & Chen, X.-M. (2002). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **329**, 13–21.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **45**, 849–854.
- Hathwar, V. R., Sist, M., Jørgensen, M. R. V., Mamakhel, A. H., Wang, X., Hoffmann, C. M., Sugimoto, K., Overgaard, J. & Iversen, B. B. (2015). *IUCrJ*, **2**, 563–574.
- Hirshfeld, H. L. (1977). *Theor. Chim. Acta*, **44**, 129–138.
- Hökelek, T., Çaylak, N. & Necefoğlu, H. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **E64**, m505–m506.
- Hökelek, T., Dal, H., Tercan, B., Özbek, F. E. & Necefoğlu, H. (2009). *Acta Cryst.* **E65**, m607–m608.
- Jayatilaka, D., Grimwood, D. J., Lee, A., Lemay, A., Russel, A. J., Taylor, C., Wolff, S. K., Cassam-Chenai, P. & Whitton, A. (2005). *TONTO*. Available at: <http://hirshfeldsurface.net/>
- Krishnamachari, K. A. V. R. (1974). *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **27**, 108–111.
- McKinnon, J. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2007). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 3814–3816.

- Necefoğlu, H., Hökelek, T., Ersanlı, C. C. & Erdönmez, A. (2002). *Acta Cryst.* **E58**, m758–m761.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Spackman, M. A. & Jayatilaka, D. (2009). *CrystEngComm*, **11**, 19–32.
- Spackman, M. A., McKinnon, J. J. & Jayatilaka, D. (2008). *CrystEngComm*, **10**, 377–388.
- Spek, A. L. (2015). *Acta Cryst.* **C71**, 9–18.
- Turner, M. J., McKinnon, J. J., Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., Spackman, P. R., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2017). *Crystal Explorer 17*. The University of Western Australia.
- Venkatesan, P., Thamocharan, S., Ilangovan, A., Liang, H. & Sundius, T. (2016). *Spectrochim. Acta Part A*, **153**, 625–636.
- You, K. S., Arnold, L. J. Jr, Allison, W. S. & Kaplan, N. O. (1978). *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **3**, 265–268.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2018). E74, 45-50 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989017017765]

Crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface analysis of aquabis(nicotinamide- κ N)bis(4-sulfamoylbenzoato- κ O¹)copper(II)

Tuncer Hökelek, Vijdan Yavuz, Hakan Dal and Hacali Necefoglu

Computing details

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2012); cell refinement: *SAINTE* (Bruker, 2012); data reduction: *SAINTE* (Bruker, 2012); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 2012); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 2012) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2015).

Aquabis(nicotinamide- κ N)bis(4-sulfamoylbenzoato- κ O¹)copper(II)

Crystal data

[Cu(C₇H₆NO₄S)₂(C₆H₆N₂O)₂(H₂O)]

$M_r = 726.20$

Monoclinic, *C2/c*

Hall symbol: -C 2yc

$a = 24.2353$ (4) Å

$b = 5.6080$ (2) Å

$c = 24.9702$ (4) Å

$\beta = 118.027$ (11)°

$V = 2995.7$ (3) Å³

$Z = 4$

$F(000) = 1492$

$D_x = 1.610$ Mg m⁻³

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 9671 reflections

$\theta = 3.2$ – 26.5 °

$\mu = 0.94$ mm⁻¹

$T = 296$ K

Block, blue

$0.22 \times 0.17 \times 0.15$ mm

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD
diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube

Graphite monochromator

φ and ω scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Bruker, 2012)

$T_{\min} = 0.812$, $T_{\max} = 0.853$

26534 measured reflections

3069 independent reflections

2535 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.049$

$\theta_{\max} = 26.5$ °, $\theta_{\min} = 3.2$ °

$h = -30 \rightarrow 30$

$k = -6 \rightarrow 7$

$l = -31 \rightarrow 31$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2

Least-squares matrix: full

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.048$

$wR(F^2) = 0.109$

$S = 1.10$

3069 reflections

227 parameters

0 restraints

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant
direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
map

Hydrogen site location: inferred from
neighbouring sites

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent
and constrained refinement

$$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0186P)^2 + 15.4435P]$$

where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$

$$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.50 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$$

$$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.58 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$$

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R-factors R are based on F, with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Cu1	0.5000	0.37776 (10)	0.7500	0.02603 (16)
S1	0.24180 (5)	0.3629 (2)	0.89016 (5)	0.0522 (3)
O1	0.40911 (14)	-0.0206 (5)	0.75744 (16)	0.0598 (9)
O2	0.41703 (10)	0.3706 (4)	0.74704 (10)	0.0324 (5)
O3	0.28139 (15)	0.2819 (9)	0.95048 (13)	0.0852 (13)
O4	0.22142 (16)	0.6045 (7)	0.87921 (18)	0.0791 (11)
O5	0.52585 (16)	0.8045 (7)	0.56765 (14)	0.0885 (13)
O6	0.5000	0.7606 (7)	0.7500	0.0580 (13)
H61	0.475 (2)	0.848 (8)	0.755 (2)	0.066 (15)*
N1	0.46156 (12)	0.3576 (5)	0.65848 (12)	0.0310 (6)
N2	0.4387 (3)	0.7680 (9)	0.48231 (18)	0.0793 (16)
H21	0.449 (2)	0.875 (10)	0.469 (2)	0.073 (17)*
H22	0.402 (3)	0.725 (14)	0.465 (3)	0.14 (3)*
N3	0.18052 (17)	0.1999 (9)	0.86238 (19)	0.0613 (11)
H31	0.153 (3)	0.239 (11)	0.825 (3)	0.092*
H32	0.191 (3)	0.041 (11)	0.874 (3)	0.092*
C1	0.39732 (15)	0.1892 (6)	0.76359 (16)	0.0330 (7)
C2	0.35674 (14)	0.2360 (6)	0.79293 (14)	0.0268 (6)
C3	0.35135 (16)	0.0600 (6)	0.82907 (16)	0.0349 (8)
H3	0.3721	-0.0843	0.8340	0.042*
C4	0.31532 (16)	0.0973 (7)	0.85791 (16)	0.0391 (8)
H4	0.3121	-0.0201	0.8826	0.047*
C5	0.28411 (15)	0.3119 (7)	0.84941 (15)	0.0348 (8)
C6	0.28860 (16)	0.4881 (7)	0.81305 (16)	0.0379 (8)
H6	0.2667	0.6303	0.8071	0.045*
C7	0.32605 (15)	0.4514 (6)	0.78542 (16)	0.0344 (8)
H7	0.3305	0.5712	0.7620	0.041*
C8	0.47946 (16)	0.5133 (6)	0.62909 (15)	0.0328 (7)
H8	0.5116	0.6192	0.6518	0.039*
C9	0.45234 (16)	0.5243 (7)	0.56628 (15)	0.0368 (8)
C10	0.40550 (18)	0.3622 (8)	0.53334 (17)	0.0499 (10)
H10	0.3865	0.3632	0.4912	0.060*

C11	0.38710 (19)	0.1995 (8)	0.56309 (18)	0.0523 (10)
H11	0.3558	0.0892	0.5414	0.063*
C12	0.41574 (16)	0.2027 (7)	0.62562 (16)	0.0392 (8)
H12	0.4029	0.0940	0.6457	0.047*
C13	0.47507 (18)	0.7119 (7)	0.53885 (16)	0.0433 (9)

Atomic displacement parameters (Å²)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Cu1	0.0283 (3)	0.0251 (3)	0.0346 (3)	0.000	0.0230 (2)	0.000
S1	0.0401 (5)	0.0787 (8)	0.0529 (6)	-0.0098 (5)	0.0344 (5)	-0.0187 (6)
O1	0.0730 (19)	0.0313 (15)	0.112 (3)	0.0088 (14)	0.074 (2)	-0.0004 (16)
O2	0.0299 (11)	0.0354 (13)	0.0409 (12)	-0.0012 (10)	0.0242 (10)	0.0018 (11)
O3	0.061 (2)	0.159 (4)	0.0415 (16)	-0.010 (2)	0.0294 (15)	-0.012 (2)
O4	0.079 (2)	0.076 (2)	0.118 (3)	-0.0090 (19)	0.076 (2)	-0.032 (2)
O5	0.077 (2)	0.113 (3)	0.0510 (18)	-0.054 (2)	0.0096 (17)	0.0285 (19)
O6	0.062 (3)	0.0222 (19)	0.125 (4)	0.000	0.073 (3)	0.000
N1	0.0304 (13)	0.0338 (15)	0.0363 (14)	-0.0026 (12)	0.0218 (12)	0.0023 (12)
N2	0.088 (3)	0.082 (3)	0.041 (2)	-0.037 (3)	0.007 (2)	0.024 (2)
N3	0.042 (2)	0.086 (3)	0.070 (2)	-0.011 (2)	0.0388 (19)	-0.007 (2)
C1	0.0293 (16)	0.0304 (18)	0.0480 (19)	-0.0003 (14)	0.0253 (15)	0.0002 (15)
C2	0.0242 (14)	0.0255 (16)	0.0349 (16)	-0.0009 (13)	0.0173 (13)	-0.0004 (13)
C3	0.0351 (17)	0.0266 (17)	0.048 (2)	0.0040 (14)	0.0236 (16)	0.0042 (15)
C4	0.0406 (19)	0.042 (2)	0.0438 (19)	-0.0010 (16)	0.0271 (17)	0.0084 (17)
C5	0.0261 (16)	0.048 (2)	0.0380 (18)	-0.0042 (15)	0.0215 (15)	-0.0063 (16)
C6	0.0343 (17)	0.0341 (19)	0.053 (2)	0.0072 (15)	0.0268 (17)	-0.0018 (17)
C7	0.0366 (18)	0.0305 (17)	0.0442 (19)	0.0062 (14)	0.0257 (16)	0.0064 (15)
C8	0.0365 (17)	0.0331 (18)	0.0324 (17)	-0.0041 (15)	0.0191 (14)	0.0028 (14)
C9	0.0379 (18)	0.0387 (19)	0.0351 (18)	-0.0036 (16)	0.0183 (15)	0.0030 (16)
C10	0.049 (2)	0.062 (3)	0.0333 (18)	-0.017 (2)	0.0154 (17)	-0.0004 (19)
C11	0.052 (2)	0.058 (3)	0.044 (2)	-0.023 (2)	0.0201 (19)	-0.007 (2)
C12	0.0397 (19)	0.040 (2)	0.046 (2)	-0.0100 (16)	0.0270 (17)	0.0002 (17)
C13	0.050 (2)	0.046 (2)	0.0348 (19)	-0.0064 (18)	0.0214 (17)	0.0045 (17)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cu1—O2	1.978 (2)	C1—C2	1.500 (4)
Cu1—O2 ⁱ	1.978 (2)	C2—C7	1.384 (4)
Cu1—N1	2.025 (3)	C2—C3	1.384 (5)
Cu1—N1 ⁱ	2.025 (3)	C3—C4	1.384 (5)
Cu1—O6	2.147 (4)	C3—H3	0.9300
S1—O4	1.424 (4)	C4—C5	1.384 (5)
S1—O3	1.428 (3)	C4—H4	0.9300
S1—N3	1.598 (4)	C5—C6	1.380 (5)
S1—C5	1.775 (3)	C6—C7	1.389 (5)
O1—C1	1.237 (4)	C6—H6	0.9300
O2—C1	1.273 (4)	C7—H7	0.9300
O5—C13	1.212 (5)	C8—C9	1.388 (5)

O6—H61	0.83 (4)	C8—H8	0.9300
N1—C8	1.337 (4)	C9—C10	1.383 (5)
N1—C12	1.344 (4)	C9—C13	1.495 (5)
N2—C13	1.303 (5)	C10—C11	1.377 (5)
N2—H21	0.78 (6)	C10—H10	0.9300
N2—H22	0.82 (7)	C11—C12	1.379 (5)
N3—H31	0.88 (5)	C11—H11	0.9300
N3—H32	0.93 (6)	C12—H12	0.9300
O2—Cu1—O2 ⁱ	177.67 (14)	C4—C3—C2	120.5 (3)
O2—Cu1—N1	92.08 (10)	C4—C3—H3	119.8
O2 ⁱ —Cu1—N1	87.79 (10)	C2—C3—H3	119.8
O2—Cu1—N1 ⁱ	87.79 (10)	C5—C4—C3	119.0 (3)
O2 ⁱ —Cu1—N1 ⁱ	92.08 (10)	C5—C4—H4	120.5
N1—Cu1—N1 ⁱ	173.61 (16)	C3—C4—H4	120.5
O2—Cu1—O6	91.16 (7)	C6—C5—C4	121.1 (3)
O2 ⁱ —Cu1—O6	91.16 (7)	C6—C5—S1	120.5 (3)
N1—Cu1—O6	93.20 (8)	C4—C5—S1	118.3 (3)
N1 ⁱ —Cu1—O6	93.20 (8)	C5—C6—C7	119.5 (3)
O4—S1—O3	120.4 (3)	C5—C6—H6	120.3
O4—S1—N3	107.1 (2)	C7—C6—H6	120.3
O3—S1—N3	107.6 (2)	C2—C7—C6	119.8 (3)
O4—S1—C5	106.55 (19)	C2—C7—H7	120.1
O3—S1—C5	105.69 (18)	C6—C7—H7	120.1
N3—S1—C5	109.17 (19)	N1—C8—C9	123.1 (3)
C1—O2—Cu1	122.3 (2)	N1—C8—H8	118.5
Cu1—O6—H61	126 (3)	C9—C8—H8	118.5
C8—N1—C12	118.4 (3)	C10—C9—C8	117.6 (3)
C8—N1—Cu1	119.1 (2)	C10—C9—C13	124.5 (3)
C12—N1—Cu1	122.3 (2)	C8—C9—C13	117.9 (3)
C13—N2—H21	117 (4)	C11—C10—C9	119.9 (3)
C13—N2—H22	122 (5)	C11—C10—H10	120.1
H21—N2—H22	118 (6)	C9—C10—H10	120.1
S1—N3—H31	113 (4)	C10—C11—C12	119.0 (4)
S1—N3—H32	110 (4)	C10—C11—H11	120.5
H31—N3—H32	122 (6)	C12—C11—H11	120.5
O1—C1—O2	125.2 (3)	N1—C12—C11	122.1 (3)
O1—C1—C2	118.0 (3)	N1—C12—H12	118.9
O2—C1—C2	116.8 (3)	C11—C12—H12	118.9
C7—C2—C3	120.1 (3)	O5—C13—N2	121.3 (4)
C7—C2—C1	121.4 (3)	O5—C13—C9	121.3 (3)
C3—C2—C1	118.6 (3)	N2—C13—C9	117.4 (4)
N1—Cu1—O2—C1	107.8 (3)	O4—S1—C5—C4	172.7 (3)
N1 ⁱ —Cu1—O2—C1	-65.8 (3)	O3—S1—C5—C4	43.5 (4)
O6—Cu1—O2—C1	-158.9 (2)	N3—S1—C5—C4	-72.0 (3)
O2—Cu1—N1—C8	130.0 (3)	C4—C5—C6—C7	-1.3 (5)
O2 ⁱ —Cu1—N1—C8	-52.3 (3)	S1—C5—C6—C7	174.9 (3)

O6—Cu1—N1—C8	38.8 (2)	C3—C2—C7—C6	-1.6 (5)
O2—Cu1—N1—C12	-45.7 (3)	C1—C2—C7—C6	179.7 (3)
O2 ⁱ —Cu1—N1—C12	132.0 (3)	C5—C6—C7—C2	2.2 (5)
O6—Cu1—N1—C12	-137.0 (3)	C12—N1—C8—C9	0.9 (5)
Cu1—O2—C1—O1	-33.8 (5)	Cu1—N1—C8—C9	-175.0 (3)
Cu1—O2—C1—C2	146.0 (2)	N1—C8—C9—C10	-1.3 (6)
O1—C1—C2—C7	-160.2 (4)	N1—C8—C9—C13	178.0 (3)
O2—C1—C2—C7	19.9 (5)	C8—C9—C10—C11	0.6 (6)
O1—C1—C2—C3	21.1 (5)	C13—C9—C10—C11	-178.6 (4)
O2—C1—C2—C3	-158.8 (3)	C9—C10—C11—C12	0.4 (7)
C7—C2—C3—C4	0.1 (5)	C8—N1—C12—C11	0.2 (5)
C1—C2—C3—C4	178.8 (3)	Cu1—N1—C12—C11	176.0 (3)
C2—C3—C4—C5	0.8 (5)	C10—C11—C12—N1	-0.8 (6)
C3—C4—C5—C6	-0.2 (5)	C10—C9—C13—O5	-159.7 (5)
C3—C4—C5—S1	-176.5 (3)	C8—C9—C13—O5	21.1 (6)
O4—S1—C5—C6	-3.5 (4)	C10—C9—C13—N2	18.7 (7)
O3—S1—C5—C6	-132.7 (3)	C8—C9—C13—N2	-160.4 (4)
N3—S1—C5—C6	111.8 (3)		

Symmetry code: (i) $-x+1, y, -z+3/2$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

<i>D</i> —H... <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H	H... <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> ... <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H... <i>A</i>
N2—H21...O5 ⁱⁱ	0.78 (5)	2.23 (5)	3.009 (7)	176 (5)
N3—H31...O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.88 (7)	2.33 (7)	3.165 (6)	159 (6)
N3—H32...O4 ^{iv}	0.93 (6)	2.54 (6)	3.452 (6)	165 (6)
O6—H61...O1 ^v	0.83 (5)	1.79 (5)	2.603 (4)	167 (4)

Symmetry codes: (ii) $-x+1, -y+2, -z+1$; (iii) $-x+1/2, y+1/2, -z+3/2$; (iv) $x, y-1, z$; (v) $x, y+1, z$.